

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE: **A.S.No.132 of 2025**

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
5.	7.07.2025	<u>RNT,J & MRK,J</u> Notice was issued to the respondent in I.A. No.1 of 2025, filed for condonation of delay of 1780 days in filing the appeal. 2. On 01.05.2025, further time was granted to the appellant to take fresh steps through substitute mode. Additionally, the appellant was permitted to serve the respondent through the counsel appearing before the Court of the Principal District Judge, Nellore. 3. A memo of proof of service was filed on 16.06.2025, <i>inter alia</i> stating that the notice sent to the respondent through RPAD was returned with an endorsement '<i>Insufficient Address, hence return to sender.</i>' However, it is submitted that the respondent's counsel appearing in the trial Court was served through RPAD and a copy of the acknowledgment has been filed. 4. There is no representation on behalf of the respondent.	(contd.)

SL. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		5. However, we find that, in spite of the order dated 01.05.2025, steps have not been taken to serve the respondent through substitute mode. 6. Learned counsel for the appellant prays for further time to take effective steps for service through substitute mode and to file an appropriate application in that regard. 7. Post the matter on 14.07.2025. RNT,J MRK,J RPD	

SL. No.	<i>DATE</i>	<i>ORDER</i>	<i>OFFICE NOTE</i>

SL. No.	<i>DATE</i>	<i>ORDER</i>	<i>OFFICE NOTE</i>