



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3233]

FRIDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 5011/2026

Between:

1. CHEPPALI GANDHI BABU, S/O. LATE PULLAIAH, AGED 43 YEARS.
R/O. DOOR NO. 7/133, KONDAYAPALLE VILLAGE, KAMALAPURAM
MANDAL, Y.S.R. KADAPA DISTRICT.
2. CHEPPALI RAMESH,, S/O. LATE PULLAIAH, AGED 42 YEARS, R/O.
DOOR NO. 7/133, KONDAYAPALLE VILLAGE, KAMALAPURAM
MANDAL, Y.S.R. KADAPA DISTRICT.
3. CHEPPALI RAJENDRA PRASAD, , S/O. LATE PULLAIAH, AGED 39
YEARS, R/O. DOOR NO. 7/133, KONDAYAPALLE VILLAGE,
KAMALAPURAM MANDAL, Y.S.R. KADAPA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT -
522238.
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, Y.S.R. KADAPA DISTRICT AT KADAPA
- 516001.
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, KADAPA REVENUE
DIVISION, KADAPA, Y.S.R. KADAPA DISTRICT - 516001.
4. THE TAHSILDAR, , KAMALAPURAM MANDAL Y.S.R. KADAPA
DISTRICT - 516289.

5.THE MANDAL SURVEYOR, KAMALAPURAM MANDAL, Y.S.R.
KADAPA DISTRICT 516289.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of Respondent No. 4 in insisting petitioners to vacate from their agricultural landed property admeasuring extent of Ac.1.36 cents in Sy.No.961 of Chinna cheppali Revenue Village in Kamalapuram Mandal of Y.S.R. Kadapa District by passing endorsement in Rc.No.B/95/2025 dated 21.11.2025 which was furnished an on dated 04.12.2025 without giving the personal opportunity in spite of the orders passed in W.P No. 20687 of 2025 by the Hon'ble High Court dated 06.08.2025 and ignoring the amendment of the section 3 of the POT act, 1977, and trying to dispossess the petitioners herein as illegal, arbitrarily, violation of the legal duty cast on the Respondents and violation of the Art 14, 19(1)(g), 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India consequentially Liable to be set aside the impugned orders passed by the 4th Respondent in its endorsement in Rc.No.B/95/2025 dated 21.11.2025, which received by the Petitioners dated 04.12.2025 and issue direction to the Respondents not to interferer the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioners property and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of notice 21.11.2025 vide Rc.No.B/95/2025 issued by the respondent and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere in any manner with petitioners' possession and enjoyment of their agricultural landed property admeasuring an extent of Ac.1.36 cents in Sy.No.961 of Chinna cheppali Revenue Village in Kamalapuram Mandal of Y.S.R. Kadapa District, pending disposal of the main Writ Petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. RAJENDRA C

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following Order:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is filed questioning the endorsement given by the 4th respondent dated 21.11.2025 informing the petitioners that, pursuant to the orders of this Court in W.P.No.20687 of 2025 dated 06.08.2025, after conducting necessary enquiry, it was informed to the petitioner that already the subject land was resumed by the authority concerned *vide* Ref.No.B/95/2025 dated 23.07.2025, which is enclosed to the said endorsement of the 4th respondent dated 21.11.2025.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the said proceedings of the 4th respondent dated 23.07.2025 is not yet assailed and the endorsement dated 21.11.2025 of the 4th respondent is only assailed in this writ petition.

4. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for the respondents submits that if the petitioners are aggrieved of the orders passed by the 4th respondent dated 23.07.2025, the same shall be assailed, following the due procedure.

5. In view of the above said facts and circumstances and upon consideration of the rival submissions made, the petitioners are permitted to

avail the alternative remedies under law for assailing the proceedings of the 4th respondent dated 23.07.2025.

6. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of. Interim order if any deemed to have been vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B. KRISHNA MOHAN

20.02.2026
PGT