



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

THURSDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 541/2025

Between:

1.P.D. KONDALA RAO,, S/O LATE P.JAMMA RAJU, AGED ABOUT
63 YEARS, R/O RAMNAGAR, NEAR SAI BABA TEMPLE,
SRUNGAVARAPUKOTA, VIZAINAGARAM DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.B RAJA SEKHAR FAC, The Special Chief Secretary, Government
of Andhra Pradesh, Animal Husbandry Dairy Development and
Fisheries (AH-I) Department, Secretariat Building, Secretariat,
Velagapudi, Guntur District,

...CONTEMNOR

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971
praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the
High Court may be pleased to punish the contemnor/respondent under
the provisions of the Contempt of Courts Act for willfully and wantonly
violating the Order dated 05.12.2024 made in W.P.No.24797 of 2023

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances
stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may
be pleased to hold that the common orders dated 05.12.2024 in
W.P.Nos.24797, 32173 & 33402 of 2023 have been fully complied with

and according pray to dispense with the personal appearance in the Contempt Case No.541 of 2025 against the respondent, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.V V N NARAYANA RAO

Counsel for the Contemnor:

1.VENKATA SAI KRISHNA PONNURU

The Court made the following:

ORDER

The above contempt case was filed complaining violation of the order dated 05.12.2024 in W.P.No.24797 of 2023.

2. Petitioner filed the aforementioned writ petition to declare the action of respondents in not releasing the pensionary benefits of the petitioner, as illegal and arbitrary.

3. The writ petition was disposed of and the operative portion of the order reads thus:

“11. Thus, the Charge Memos vide G.O.Rt.No.252, Animal Husbandry, Dairy & Fisheries (DY & VIG) Department dated 30.08.2023 (Ex.P1) in W.P.No.31273 of 2023 and G.O.Ms.no.46, dated 04.12.2023b (Ex.P1) in W.P.No.33402 of 2023 issued against the petitioner are hereby quashed. As the charge memos against the petitioner were quashed, withholding of retirement benefits does not arise. Hence, the 1st respondent shall release the retirement benefits to the petitioner within six (06) weeks, as per the rules.”

4. The contempt case was filed on 18.02.2025. Pending the contempt case, the respondents paid the retirement benefits to the petitioner.

5. Sri V.V.N.Narayana Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that since the respondent paid the gratuity amount belatedly, the petitioner is entitled for interest as per the Act.

6. Since the amount was released and paid to the petitioner, this Court is not going into the aspect of interest and this Court is of the considered opinion the respondent complied with the order in the writ petition.

7. Accordingly, the Contempt Case is Closed. No costs.

This order will not preclude the petitioner from claiming statutory benefits, if any, as per law.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

PVD