



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3233]

FRIDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 4918/2026

Between:

1. CHUNDURU RAVINDRA BABU, S/O CHUNDURU VENKATESWARA RAO, AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS, OCCUPATION SOFTWARE ENGINEER PRESENTLY RESIDING IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, REPRESENTED BY HIS GPA HOLDER / AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE, NAGA PRASANTH POLAVARAPU S/O. BABU NARENDRANATH BENARJI POLAVARAPU, AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS, OCCUPATION SOFTWARE ENGINEER R/O BLOCK B, FLAT NO. 104, 4TH FLOOR, JAYABHERI ORANGE COUNTY, ROAD NO. 2, FINANCIAL DISTRICT, NANAKRAMGUDA, GACHIBOWLI, K.V.RANGA REDDY DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT - 522238
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, NTR DISTRICT - 520010
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, NANDIGAMA REVENUE DIVISION, NTR DISTRICT - 521185
4. THE TAHSILDAR, KANCHIKACHERLA MANDAL, NTR DISTRICT - 521180
5. CHUNDURU NARASIMHA RAO, S/O CHUNDURU VENKATESWARA RAO, AGED ABOUT NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER R/O. PLOT

NO. 102, SAI KEERTHI ESCAPE USHODAYA PUBLIC STREET,
KANCHIKACHERLA VILLAGE AND MANDAL NTR DISTRICT -
521180

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent No. 3 in initiating the notice in R.C. A3/1331/2025, as illegal, arbitrary, without jurisdiction and void, consequently direct the Respondent No.3 to drop the proceedings in R.C. A3/1331/2025 and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct Revenue Divisional Officer, Nandigama, to suspend the notice in R.C. A31133112025 in view of the pendency of O.S. No.06 of 2019 before the District Court at Nandigama, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.K V ADITYA CHOWDARY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following Order:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondent Nos.1 to 4.

2. The issuance of notice to the 5th respondent is dispensed with as no prejudice would cause to him even if it is disposed of in his absence.

3. This writ petition is filed questioning the notice issued by the 3rd respondent dated 01.11.2025 on the representation given by the 5th respondent alleging that the illegal mutation of the subject land in favour of the petitioner herein.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with respect to the subject land already the partition suit is pending between the petitioner and the 5th respondent. In view of the same, the 3rd respondent ought not to have issued the notice dated 01.11.2025.

4. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 submits that it is only a notice and the petitioner can participate in the enquiry, submitting the relevant documents.

5. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, the petitioner is permitted to submit explanation to the above said notice of the 3rd respondent dated 01.11.2025, enclosing all the necessary documents in support of his claim within a period of four (04) weeks from the date of receipt of this order. On receipt of such explanation from the petitioner, the 3rd respondent shall

proceed with the necessary enquiry, pursuant to the above said notice dated 01.11.2025, strictly in accordance with law, by hearing all the parties concerned, including the petitioner and the 5th respondent, giving due opportunity, upon verification of the records and the subject land, appropriate decision shall be taken on its own merits as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three (03) months thereafter. The 5th respondent shall be given an opportunity in the above said enquiry to submit his counter/objections, if any.

6. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of. Interim order if any deemed to have been vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B. KRISHNA MOHAN

20.02.2026
PGT