



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

THURSDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 4837/2026

Between:

MULI GANGA MAHESWAR REDDY, S/O BAYAPU REDDY, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, OCC CULTIVATION, RESIDING AT 5-1-43, BRAMHMANNAM PALLI, PULIVENDULA, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT. ANDHRA PRADESH - 516390.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT. 522237
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, Y. S. R. KADAPA DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH. - 516001
3. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, PULIVENDULA, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH. - 516390
4. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, PULIVENDULA URBAN POLICE STATION, PULIVENDULA TOWN, Y. S. R. KADAPA DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH. - 516390
5. GOWKANAPALLE MANOJ KUMAR REDDY, S/O. VEERA REDDY, AGE 44 YEARS, OCC CULTIVATION, R/O. 5-1-352, BRAHMANAPALLE, PULIVENDULA MANDAL, Y. S. R. KADAPA DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH- 516390.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

V NITESH

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:-

“...to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus especially against respondents 3 and 4 herein in calling the petitioners herein under the pressure and influence of the unofficial respondent no 5 herein to the police station even without there being any FIR pending against the petitioner and further forcing the petitioner to settle the Financial Disputes with the unofficial respondent no 5 herein under the threat of illegal arrest as being arbitrary illegal and violation of Article 14 19 21 and 300A of Constitution of India apart from violate of Principles of Natural Justice and consequently direct the Respondents No 3 and 4 not to harass or call the petitioner herein to the police station without there being any crime registered against the petitioner and not to interfere in the financial disputes pending between the Petitioner and unofficial respondent no 5 and to pass...”

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader.

3. Mr. V. Nitesh, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner was unnecessarily called to the police station and was compelled to settle alleged financial disputes with respondent No.5.

4. Sri P. Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader, submits that respondent No.5 lodged a report before respondent No.3. Upon receipt of the said report, respondent No.3 conducted a preliminary enquiry and found that financial disputes are pending between the petitioner and respondent No.5. After completion of the preliminary enquiry, the parties were advised to avail appropriate remedies before the competent Court.

5. Recording the above submissions, the Writ Petition is disposed of, directing respondent Nos.3 and 4 not to further call the petitioner to the police station unnecessarily.

6. With the above observations and directions, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, interlocutory applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 19.02.2026
RSI

192

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION No.4837 of 2026

Date: 19.02.2026

RSI