



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3396]

WEDNESDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF NOVEMBER

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 5904/2022

Between:

PANDUGA NARAYANA REDDY, S/O. PAPI REDDY, CONVICT NO.4083, CENTRAL PRISON, KADAPA, AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS R/O. H.NO.8-6-204, NEAR KRISHNA TEMPLE, PADMAVATHI COLONY, MAHABUBNAGAR TOWN, TELANGANA, REP BY HIS FATHER IN LAW, A PRATHAPA REDDY S/O. MASI REDDY AGED 66 YEARS, OCC. CULTIVATION, R/O.2-60, BONDAGONDA VILLAGE, NARAYANAPET MANDAL, MAHABUBNAGAR DISTRICT, TELANGANA

...PETITIONER

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY DEPARTMENT OF HOME (PAROLE AND HRC), SECRETARIAT, VELGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH
- 2.THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF PRISONS AND CORRECTIONAL SERVICES, DURGAPURAM, VIJAYAWADA, ANDHRA PRADESH
- 3.THE SUPERINTENDENT OF PRISON, CENTRAL PRISON, KADAPA, Y.S.R DISTRICT KADAPA

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

- 1.THANDAVA YOGESH

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

- 1.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

".... a Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in not processing the furlough application dated 11.09.2020 and 24.11.2020 for 14 days or parole application dated 31.07.2021 for 30 days to look after his family members residing in his native village is illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional

and violation Article 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and violation of Rule.967, 968, 972 and 974 of Andhra Pradesh Prison Rules. Consequently direct the respondents to process the furlough application dated 24.11.2020 for 14 days or parole application dated 31.07.2021 for 30 days to look after his family members residing in his native or if they are already processed receive fresh Parole/ furlough application and process he same within 8 weeks.”

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, Sri Thandava Yogesh, learned counsel for the Petitioner would submit that, no further orders are required in this matter as the relief has become infructuous.

3. Sri V.Farooq, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor for Home would submit that the Court may pass appropriate orders.

4. Considering the submissions made, the Writ Petition is dismissed as the relief has become infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Dr.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date:12.11.2025
Dinesh

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.5904 of 2022

Dt.12.11.2025

Dinesh