

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

TUESDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 275 OF 2025



Between:

1. Ganji Venkata Subbaiah, S/o Late Subbarayudu, Male, aged about 49 years.
2. Ganji Seethamma, W/o Venkata Subbaiah, Female, aged about 39 years.
3. Ganji Murali @ Venkata Murali, Male, aged about 23 years.
4. Ganji Balaji, S/o Venkata Subbaiah Male, aged about 19 years

All are residents of Madhavaram-1, Sidhout Mandal, Kadapa District

Petitioners 1 to 4/Accused

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of A.P., Amaravathi.
2. S.H.O., Sidhout Police Station, Kadapa District.

Respondents

Revision filed under Sections 438 & 442 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Criminal Revision Case, the High Court may be pleased to set-aside the Order dated : 17.12.2024 passed in CrI.M.P.No.99 of 2024 in S.C.No.29 of 2019 by the Special Judge for Trial of Offences against Woman - Cum VII Addl., District & Sessions Judge, Kadapa by discharging the petitioners /accused from the offence under section 307 of I.P.C in S.C.No.29 of 2019 pending on the file of the Special Judge for Trial of Offences against Woman - Cum - VII Addl., District & Sessions Judge, Kadapa

IA NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the Order dated 17.12.2024 passed in CrI.M.P.No.99 of 2024 in S.C.No.29 of 2019 by the Special Judge for Trial of Offences against Woman - Cum - VII Addl., District & Sessions Judge, Kadapa, Pending disposal of CRLRC 275 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier order of the High Court dated. 08.04.2025, made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI V RAJA MANOHAR Advocate for the Petitioners, PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the Respondents, the Court made the following

ORDER:

In this revision case, the de-facto complainant is not arrayed as respondent No.3.

The learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to implead the de-facto complainant on record as respondent No.3 and cause serve notice on the de-facto complainant and file proof to that effect.

Post the matter after three (03) weeks.

The interim order granted earlier is extended until then.

SD/- K.SRINIVASA RAJU
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

//TRUE COPY//

For /

To,

1. The Special Sessions Judge for trial of offences against woman-cum-VII
Additional District & Sessions Judge, Kadapa
2. The S.H.O., Sidhout Police Station, Kadapa District.
3. One CC to SRI. V RAJA MANOHAR Advocate [OPUC].
4. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court of A.P[OUT]
5. **One spare copy**

PSR

HIGH COURT

Dr.YLR,J

DATED:12.08.2025.

NOTE: Post the matter after three (03) weeks.

ORDER

CRLRC.No.275 of 2025

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED

