



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3504]

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 4562/2026

Between:

1. DASARI LOKESWARA REDDY, S/O CHINNA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS, OCCCONTRACTOR, R/O 12/5, DASARI VANDLA PALLI, GORANCHERUVU, KARIMIREDDY VANDLAPALLI, GALIVEEDU MANDAL, RAYACHOTY, KADAPA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT., SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT. (522238)

2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR/EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, A.P STATE HOUSING CORPORATION LIMITED, YSR DISTRICT, KADAPA. (516001)

3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT AT RAYACHOTY. (516269) 4

4. THE A P STATE HOUSING CORPORATION LTD, . REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR, VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DISTRICT. (520007)

5. THE DISTRICT HEAD HOUSING, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT. (516115)
6

6. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER H, RAYACHOTY, ANNAMAYA DISTRICT. (516269)

7.THE DEPUTY EXECUTIVE ENGINEER H, RAYACHOTY,
ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT. (516269)

8.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP., BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, FINANCE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS,
VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT. (522238)

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of the Respondents herein, in withholding the payment of Final Bills of an amount of i) Rs. 1,70,824/-, ii) Rs.2,28,613/-, hi) Rs. 1,47,868/- and iv) Rs. 1,27,009/- totally an amount of Rs. 6,74,314/- even after finalizing the bills payable to the petitioner in relation to the land leveling works i.e., i) Development of house sites for demarcation of plots in Survey No. 829/1 at Galiveedu of Galiveedu (M) in Segment No.I and ii) Development of house sites for demarcation of plots in Survey No. 829/1 at Galiveedu of Galiveedu (M) in Segment No.2 under Layout ID No 115013LA113676, opposite Petrol bunk, Galiveedu (NON OTS) as per the Order of the Respondent No.2 vide Rc.No.3831/House Sites/2019/D(2), Dt. 02.03.2020 is questioned, as the same is illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14, 16, 19 300-A of Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to consider for payment of Final Bills of an amount of i) Rs. 1,70,824/-, ii) Rs.2,28,613/-, hi) Rs. 1,47,868/- and iv) Rs. 1,27,009/- totally an amount of Rs.6,74,314/- with an interest @24PERCENT per annum for the delayed amount to the petitioner in respect of execution of above- mentioned work forthwith and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to DIRECT the respondents to consider for payment of Final Bills of an amount of i) Rs. 1,70,824/-, ii) Rs.2,28,613/-, hi) Rs. 1,47,868/- and iv) Rs. 1,27,009/- totally with an interest of 24% per annum for the delayed amount to the petitioner in respect of execution of land leveling works i.e., Development of house sites for demarcation of plots in Survey No. 829/1 at Galiveedu of Galiveedu (M) in Segment No.I and ii) Development of house sites for demarcation of plots in Survey No. 829/1 at Galiveedu of Galiveedu (M) in Segment No.2 under Layout ID No: 115013LA113676, opposite Petrol bunk,

Galiveedu (NON OTS) per the Order of the Respondent No.2 vide Rc.No.3831/House Sites/2019/D(2), Dt. 02.03.2020 fe. 19.01.2025/), pending disposal of the Writ Petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. SURESH KUMAR REDDY KALAVA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. MALLIKHARJUNA MOORTHY K (SC FOR A.P HOUSING CORPORATION LIMITED)
- 2.

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM**WRIT PETITION NO: 4562 of 2026****ORDER:-**

The instant writ petition has been filed by the petitioners under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeking the following main prayer:

“....to issue a WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of the Respondents herein, in withholding the payment of Final Bills of an amount of i) Rs. 1,70,824/-, ii) Rs.2,28,613/-, hi) Rs. 1,47,868/- and iv) Rs. 1,27,009/- totally an amount of Rs. 6,74,314/- even after finalizing the bills payable to the petitioner in relation to the land leveling works i.e., i) Development of house sites for demarcation of plots in Survey No. 829/1 at Galiveedu of Galiveedu (M) in Segment No.1 and ii) Development of house sites for demarcation of plots in Survey No. 829/1 at Galiveedu of Galiveedu (M) in Segment No.2 under Layout ID No 115013LA113676, opposite Petrol bunk, Galiveedu (NON OTS) as per the Order of the Respondent No.2 vide Rc.No.3831/House Sites/2019/D(2), Dt. 02.03.2020 is questioned, as the same is illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14, 16, 19 300-A of Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to consider for payment of Final Bills of an amount of i) Rs. 1,70,824/-, ii) Rs.2,28,613/-, hi) Rs. 1,47,868/- and iv) Rs. 1,27,009/- totally an amount of Rs.6,74,314/- with an interest @24PERCENT per annum for the delayed amount to the petitioner in respect of execution of above- mentioned work forthwith and pass....”

2. The sum and substance of the writ petition is that the petitioner challenged the inaction of the respondents in not releasing the amounts even after completion of the entire works entrusted to him.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri K.Mallikarjuna Moorthy, learned Standing Counsel for A.P. Housing Corporation appearing for respondents.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner, while reiterating the averments made in the writ affidavit, submits that in view of the non-release of the admitted amounts, the petitioner is facing multifarious problems, physically and fiscally. Hence, he submits that the release of the amounts is just and essential.

5. On the other hand, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent Corporation, places a copy of the written instructions dated 22.04.2026 issued by the concerned authority of the Corporation and submits that three months' time may be granted for paying the admitted amount of Rs.7,00,000/- to the petitioner.

6. Since it is not in dispute that the petitioner completed the works and has yet to receive payment, this Court finds the respondents' failure to pay is unjustified. However, taking into consideration of the ground realities to settle the admitted amounts, the respondents are granted rational time to settle the dues.

7. Recently the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India held in ***Utkal Highways Engineers and Contractor Vs Chief General Manager and Others***¹, in vivid terms categorically in the following manner.

“8. Be that as it may, the High Court has not dealt with the merits of the writ petition. Moreover, it is not an inviolable rule that no money claim can be adjudicated upon in exercise of writ jurisdiction.....”

¹ (2025) SCC Online SC 1400

8. It is apt to note that a mere financial incapacity/poor financial conditions, as stated by the respondent Corporation, for non-releasing of amounts after utilising the services of the petitioner, cannot be a ground.

9. In view of the above stated legal position, the respondent Corporation, being the instrumentality of the 'State' within the meaning of Article 12 of the Constitution of India, is bound to release the amount for the undisputed works done by the petitioner, without any further delay. A mere financial incapacity or paucity of funds cannot be a valid defence for non-fulfillment of such statutory obligations, more particularly, when the works executed by the petitioner are admitted by the respondent Corporation.

10. So far as the interest portion is concerned, the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in the case of **Managing Director & Ors. Vs. Sree Balaji Constructions & Ors.** (Writ Appeal No.60 of 2025) held that the award of interest on delayed payments was unsustainable in the absence of specific terms and conditions of the relevant agreement between the parties, but in the instant case, the learned counsel for the petitioner has not touched on the said point. However, the Hon'ble Division Bench in similar circumstances made it clear that the parties are at liberty to pursue their claims before an appropriate forum in accordance with the Law.

11. In the light of the above legal position, coupled with the fact that the liability for the undisputed works was admitted by the respondents, the Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to release the

amount payable to the petitioner, within a period of three (03) months, from the date of receipt of copy of this order. It is needless to say that the petitioner is at liberty to approach the appropriate civil court with regard to interest, if so advised.

There shall be no order as to costs. Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

Date: 23.04.2026
PBS

180

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION No. 4562 of 2026

Date: 23.04.2026

PBS