

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MONDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JUNE,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO



CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 4502 OF 2026

Between:

1. Pamarthi Bhaskar Prakash Gowd, S/o. Not known, Aged 38 yrs, D.No: 54-19-20/11D, Film Colony, Gunadala, Vijayawada, NTR District, A.P-520008

...Petitioner/A-1

2. Pamarthi Mangamma, W/o. B.S.V.V Ganeswararao, aged 60 years, D.No 54-19-20/11D, Film Colony, Gunadala, Vijayawada, NTR District, A.P-520008

...Petitioner/A-2

3. Vemulakonda Phani Nandini, W/o. P. Bhaskar Prakash Gowd, Aged 36 years, D.No 54-19-20/11D, Film Colony, Gunadala, Vijayawada, NTR District, A.P-520008

...Petitioner/A-3

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Through the S.H.O, of Mahila UPS, Vijayawada City, Rep., by its Public Prosecutor, High Court at Amaravati.

...Respondent/Complainant

2. Yojarla Sangeetha, S/o. Sangeetha Rao, Aged 28 yrs, D.No: 32-26-16/1, Sri Vijayalakshminivas, Beside Vani School, Machavaram, Vijayawada, NTR District, A.P-520008

Respondent/Defacto Complainant

Petition under Section 482 of BNSS, praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the CriminalPetition, the High Court may be pleased to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest in connection with FIR No. 23/2026 dated 15.05.2026 on the file of the Mahila U.P.S, Vijayawada, NTR Commissionarate;

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS./482 of Cr.P.C., is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the CriminalPetition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent/Police not to arrest the Petitioners/Accused No. 1 to 3 in FIR No. 23/2026 dated 15.05.2026 on the file of the Mahila U.P.S, Vijayawada, NTR Commissionerate.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri MUNDURU ESWAR BRAHMANAND, Advocate for the Petitioner and of PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, for the Respondent No.1, directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.2, herein to show cause as to why this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

The Court made the following ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor.

Petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 are majors. Petitioner No.1 is a Doctor (General Medicine), and respondent No.2, aged about 28 years, is working as a nurse in the hospital of Accused No.1. Petitioner No.1 is already married; however, petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 were in a live-in relationship for about four years. Respondent No.2 stated that she became pregnant several times during the said relationship, and the pregnancies were terminated by administering pills on the advice of petitioner No.1. Respondent

No.2 further stated that petitioner No.1 stopped visiting her residence and switched off his mobile phone. Subsequently, respondent No.2 came to know that petitioner No.1 was already married and was blessed with a female child. When respondent No.2 questioned petitioner No.1, he abused her in the name of her caste. When respondent No.2 contacted the mother of petitioner No.1 over the phone, she also abused her in the name of her caste. Respondent No.2 further contacted petitioner No.3, who is the wife of petitioner No.1, and she too abused her in the name of her caste. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, and also the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Amol Bhagwan Nehul v. State of Maharashtra¹, and having regard to the fact that the alleged offences are punishable with imprisonment up to seven years, this Court is of the view that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not necessary at this stage.

The petitioners are directed to cooperate with the Investigating Officer. The Investigating Officer shall proceed with further investigation until the next date of hearing. The petitioners shall not be arrested by the Investigating Officer in the meantime.

List the matter on 01.07.2026 for hearing the arguments of the learned counsel for respondent No.2. The parties shall appear before this Court on 01.07.2026.

SD/- M.VENKATESWARA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Pamarthi Bhaskar Prakash Gowd, S/o. Not known, Aged 38 yrs, D.No: 54-19-20/11D, Film Colony, Gunadala, Vijayawada, NTR District, A.P-520008

2.Pamarthi Mangamma, W/o. B.S.V.V Ganeswararao, aged 60 years, D.No 54-19-20/11D, Film Colony, Gunadala, Vijayawada, NTR District, A.P-520008

3. Vemulakonda Phani Nandini, W/o. P. Bhaskar Prakash Gowd, Aged 36 years, D.No 54-19-20/11D, Film Colony, Gunadala, Vijayawada, NTR District, A.P-520008
4. The Station House Officer, Mahila U.P.S, Vijayawada, NTR Commissionarate.
5. Yojarla Sangeetha, S/o. Sangetha Rao, Aged 28 yrs, D.No: 32-26-16/1, Sri Vijayalakshminivas, Beside Vani School, Machavaram, Vijayawada, NTR District, A.P-520008 (by SPEED POST to appear before Court on 01.07.2026)
6. One CC to Sri. MUNDURU ESWAR BRAHMANAND, Advocate [OPUC]
7. Two CCs to the PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Amaravati. [OUT]
8. **One spare copy**

BS

HIGH COURT


DR.YLR, J

DATED:22/06/2026

POST ON 01.07.2026.

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

CRLP.No.4502 of 2026



APPEARANCE