

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

**FRIDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K SURESH REDDY

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 918 OF 2024



Between:

1. B. Rajagopal Naidu, S/o Late B. Munaswamy Neidu Aged about 70 years, Occ: Business, R/o Plot no. 1343, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad Telangana-500033
2. S. Mallikharjuna Rao, S/o Suryanarayana Aged about 36 years. Chief District Reporter, TV5 Visakapatnam.
3. Shreya Broadcasting Pvt Ltd Company, 202, Road No. 76, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad-33 Rep by its Managing Director B. Rajagopal Naidu

...Petitioner/Accused No.1, 2 & 3

AND

1. The state of Andhra Pradesh, Rep.by Special Public Prosecutor, CID - Mangalagiri, High Court Of A.P.,Amaravati.

...Respondent No.1

2. Gundupalli Surya Prakash Rao, S/o Appa Rao, aged about 34 years R/o D.No.1-2-27/A, Agraharam, Pithapuram Town East Godavari District

... De facto Complainant/Respondent No.2

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the Charge sheet filed against Accused No. 1,2 & 3 in Crime No. 03 of 2009 and taken cognizance as C.C. No. 699 of 2023 on the file of II Additional Junior Civil Judge at kakinada, registered for the alleged offences U/s 67A of I.T. Act,2000 and Sec. 354 C, 201 R/w 34 IPC, Sec 3 & 6 of The Indecent Representation of Women (Prohibition) Act, 1986., as illegal, untenable and is abuse of the process of law in the interest of justice.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in memorandum of grounds of criminal petition, the High Court may be pleased grant stay of all further proceedings including appearance of the petitioners/ Accused No.1, 2& 3 in C.C.No.699 of 2023 on the file of II Additional Civil Judge (Junior Division) at Kakinada, pending disposal of CRLP.No.918 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The Appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the appeal and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier orders of the High Court dated 21.02.2024, 21.03.2024, 06.05.2024, 09.08.2024, 26.10.2024, 16.12.2024, 19.02.2025, 19.09.2025, 12.12.2025, 26.12.2025 , 23.01.2026 & 06.02.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri G.V.L.Murthy, Advocate for the Petitioner and of Public Prosecutor, for the Respondent No.1 and Sri. Kalla Tulasi Durgamba, Advocate for Respondent No.2, the Court made the following

ORDER:

“Learned counsel for the respondents states that the parties have taken away “No objection’ from them and also states that they are going to file Memo into the Registry.

In view of the same, Post on 02.04.2026.

Interim order, granted earlier, is extended till the next date of hearing.”

**Sd/- U.SRIDEVI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri G V L Murthy, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to Sri Kalla Tulasi Durgamba, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to Sri Y L Sivakalpana Reddy (SC CUM SPL PP, CID) Advocate [OPUC]
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

KSR, J

DATED: 27.02.2026

POST ON 02.04.2026

ORDER

CRL.P. No. 918 of 2024

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED

