

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI**



WRIT APPEAL NO: 144 of 2026

S. Mohan

...Appellant

Vs.

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE LISA GILL

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA

DATE:- 23rd APRIL 2026

Present: Mr. S. Lakshminarayana Reddy, Advocate
for appellant.

Mr. R.S. Manidhar Pingali, Assistant
Government Pleader for respondent No.1.

Mr. S. Sarath Kumar, Assistant
Government Pleader for respondent No.2.

Mr. P. Ravi Kumar, Advocate for respondent
Nos.3 & 4.

LISA GILL,J.

1. This writ appeal has been filed challenging common order dated 29.01.2026, whereby a number of writ petitions, along with writ petition filed by present appellant/writ petitioner, were decided and disposed of with the following direction:

“19. (i) The State government is directed to consider the feasibility for enhancement of age of superannuation of employees of Respondent-Society from 60 to 62 years as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four (4) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(ii) Till a concrete decision is taken by the State Government, the Petitioners and similar employees of Respondent-Society are not entitled for continuation beyond the age of 60 years.”

2. Present appeal has been filed with a prayer that a specific direction should have been issued to State for taking necessary action for enhancing age of retirement from 60 to 62 years. It was noted on earlier occasions when the matter was taken up for hearing that as per information provided by learned Government Pleader, Minister's Committee had recommended enhancement of age from 60 to 62 years and State Government is in the process of accepting the same and that necessary documentation would be carried out.

3. Today, learned Government Pleader submits that a period of four (04) weeks is required for taking necessary action in this regard. Reference is made to communication dated 23.04.2026, received from Secretary to Government, Finance Department, Amaravati, Andhra Pradesh.

4. We take note of the fact that appellant continues to serve on the post in question. In the given facts and circumstances, where the State has accepted and enhanced age from 60 to 62 years, as presented before us, and appellant continues to serve, there is no justification of continuation of present proceedings.

5. Writ Appeal is closed accordingly. In case of any action to the contrary being taken by the respondent, it is open to appellant to file appropriate application in this appeal. No order as to costs. Pending applications, if any, are disposed of accordingly.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

(NINALA JAYASURYA)
JUDGE

Date: 23.04.2026
ANS

112

HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA

WRIT APPEAL NO: 144 of 2026

Date: 23.04.2026
ANS