



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3233]

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 4439/2026

Between:

1.A RANJEET KUMAR, S/O. ANUMOLU SUBASH CHANDRA
BOSE, AGE 44 YEARS, D.NO. 124-2-508, SWARNAPURIM
COLONY, ADAVI THAKKELLAPADU, GUNTUR RURAL,
GUNTUR DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP., BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPT., SECRETARIAT,
VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT. 522237
- 2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT,
VISAKHAPATNAM.530001
- 3.THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, VISAKHAPATNAM,
VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT
- 4.THE TAHSILDAR, MANDAL REVENUE OFFICE,
CHINNAGADHILI, VISAKHAPATNAM RURAL,
VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT 530040
- 5.M ANNAPURNA, W/O. APPANNA, AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS,
R/O D.NO.50-13-14, SEETHAMMAPETA, VISAKHAPATNAM
DISTRICT 530016
- 6.M APPALA RAJU, S/O. MADU APPANNA, AGED ABOUT 48
YEARS, R/O D.NO.50-13-14, SEETHAMMAPETA,
VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT 530016
- 7.M UMA MAHESWARA RAO, S/O. APPANNA, AGED ABOUT 45
YEARS, R/O D.NO.50-13-14, SEETHAMMAPETA,
VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT 530016
- 8.AKIRI DHANA LAKSHMI, D/O. APPANNA, AGED ABOUT 42
YEARS, R/O D.NO.50-13-14, SEETHAMMAPETA,
VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT 530016

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in
the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court

may be pleased topleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction declaring action of the Respondent Revenue Authorities in trying to correct the revenue records and mutating in favour of unofficial respondents to an extent of admeasuring 672.88 sq. yards in Survey No. 27/25, Madhuravada, comprising Endada Village Taluk, with Municipal Assessment No. 3-26 / 2, within municipal limits of Visakhapatnam Visakhapatnam District without following due process of law as illegal, arbitrary. 7without jurisdiction and violative of principles of natural justice and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to direct the Respondents not to mutate any names of persons to the extent of 672.88 sq. yards in Survey No, 27/25, Madhuravada, comprising Endada Village Taluk, with Municipal Assessment No 3-26/2 within municipal limits of Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District pending disposal of the writ petition and pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to permit them to implead as Proposed Parties/Respondents No.9 to 11 in the above Writ Petition No. 4439 of 2026, for proper adjudication of the issue involved in the writ petition, in the interest of justice and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. THOTA RAMAKOTESWARA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

2. S LAKSHMINARAYANA REDDY

The Court made the following Order:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 and the learned counsel appearing for the respondent Nos.5 to 8.

2. This writ petition is filed questioning the action of the respondent revenue authorities in trying to correct the revenue records and mutating in favour of unofficial respondents to an extent of 672.88 Sq. yds. in Sy.No.27/25, Madhuravada, comprising Endada Village Taluk, with Municipal Assessment No.3-26/2 within municipal limits of Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District without following the due process of law.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner relying upon the document dated 05.12.2025 for the subject land opposes the mutation of names of the unofficial respondents for the subject land.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the unofficial respondents submits that when the principal of the petitioner himself has no objection for mutation of the names of the unofficial respondents herein claiming to be an agent of the principal, the petitioner cannot oppose the claim of the unofficial respondents herein.

5. However, the learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 submits that endorsement issued by the 4th respondent dated 19.02.2026 wherein the request of the

7th respondent herein has not been considered in view of the pendency of this writ petition.

6. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, recording the endorsement issued by the 4th respondent dated 19.02.2026, the unofficial respondents are directed to make application enclosing all the necessary documents in support of their claim for the purpose of mutation of their names in the revenue records for the subject land within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of this order. On receipt of such application from the unofficial respondents herein, the 4th respondent shall consider and dispose of the same strictly in accordance with law by hearing all the parties concerned including the petitioner and unofficial respondents herein by considering the objections of the petitioner herein, upon verification of the records and the subject land, appropriate decision shall be taken on its own merits as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three (3) months thereafter.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. Interim order, if any, deemed to have been vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous Petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

22.04.2026
NNN