


**(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI**

MONDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY,

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX



**:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO**

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 1204 OF 2026

Between:

1. Beesetti Siva Sai Venkat, S/O. B Parasuramulu, Age 31 Years, Occ Software Employee R/o. 5-12-90a, Burlupeta Viziagaram, Andhra Pradesh 535001.
2. Beesetti Parasuramulu, S/o. B Sanyasi, Age 63 Years, Occ Business, R/o. 5-12-90a, Burlupeta, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh 535001
3. Beesetti Bhramaramba, W/O. B Parasuramulu, Age 50 Years, Occ Housewife, R/o. 5-12-90a, Burlupeta .Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh 535001.

Petitioners/Accused 1 to 3

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Through the Station House Officer, Anakapalli Town Police Station, Anakapalli District, Represented by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Amaravati.
2. Smt. Doddi Rohini Durga, D/o Chandra Sekhar, Aged about 25 years, R/o Geethanjali Apartments, Anakapalli, Andhra Pradesh.

Respondents/Complainants

WHEREAS the Petitioners above named through their Advocate Sri. MALLAVARAPU PRADEEP KUMAR, presented this Petition under Section 528 of BNSS., is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds

filed in support of the CriminalPetition, the High Court may be pleased to Quash the notices issued under Section 94 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, dated 19.01.2026 and 27.01.2026, in connection with Crime No. 297 of 2025 of Anakapalli Town Police Station, Anakapalli District;

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and memorandum of grounds filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri MALLAVARAPU. PRADEEP KUMAR, Advocate for the Petitioner and of PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, for the Respondent No.1, directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.2, herein to show cause as to why this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

Smt. Doddi Rohini Durga, D/o Chandra Sekhar, Aged about 25 years,
R/o Geethanjali Apartments, Anakapalli, Andhra Pradesh.

are be and hereby directed to show cause either appearing in person or through an Advocate, as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the memorandum of grounds filed therewith (copy enclosed) this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted on or before **16.03.2026** to which date the case stands posted for hearing.

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS., is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the CriminalPetition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further steps in relation to the Impugned FIR dated 03.12.2025 bearing F.I.R. No. 297 of 2025 on the file of the Anakapalli Town Police Station, Anakapalli District;

The Court made the following ORDER:

Issue notice to respondent No.2.

The learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to take out personal notice on respondent No.2 and file proof to that effect.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor.

The respondent No.1/Sub-Inspector of Police, Anakapalli Town Police Station, has required petitioner Nos.1 and 2, on 27.01.2026, to produce the bank locker key bearing No.2/6 at the State Bank of India, wherein it is alleged that certain gold articles, which were presented by respondent No.2 to petitioner No.1 at the time of marriage towards dowry, are kept.

Mr. M. Pradeep Kumar, the learned counsel for the petitioners, apprehends that if the locker key is provided to the Sub-Inspector of Police, Anakapalli, there may be a possibility of certain other valuable properties kept in the locker going missing. It is submitted that there was justification on the part of the Sub-Inspector of Police in invoking the powers under Section 94 of 'the BNSS' requiring the petitioners to produce the valuable property which is the subject matter of trial; however, there was no justification on the part of the Sub-Inspector of Police, Anakapalli, in requiring them to submit the locker key. Of course, Section 94 of 'the BNSS' empowers the Court or the Police Officer to require production of any document or thing for the purpose of investigation. A balance is required to be maintained while exercising the powers under Section 94 of 'the BNSS'.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, there shall be a stay of all further proceedings in Cr.No.297 of 2025 of Anakapalli Town Police Station, until the next date of hearing.

Post on 16.03.2026.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- N. NAGAMMA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Station House Officer, Anakapalli Town Police Station, Anakapalli District.
2. Smt. Doddi Rohini Durga, D/o Chandra Sekhar, Aged about 25 years, R/o Geethanjali Apartments, Anakapalli, Andhra Pradesh. (by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and memorandum of grounds)
3. One CC to Sri. MALLAVARAPU PRADEEP KUMAR, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Amaravati. [OUT]
5. **One spare copy**

JSS

HIGH COURT

DR.YLR, J

DATED:16/02/2026

POST ON 16.03.2026.

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

CRLP.No.1204 of 2026

STAY

