



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA
PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3601]

MONDAY, THE 6th DAY OF JULY 2026

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE PURUSHOTTAM KUMAR
CHINTALAPUDI**

WRIT PETITION NO: 3891/2006

Between:

1. THE GENERAL MANAGER (REP. U.O.I), SOUTH CENTRAL RAILWAY, RAIL NILAYAM, SECUNDERABAD.
2. THE CHIEF PERSONNEL OFFICER,, SOUTH CENTRAL RAILWAY, RAIL NILAYAM, SECUNDERABAD.
3. THE DIVISIONAL RAILWAY MANAGER,, SOUTH CENTRAL RAILWAY, GUNTAKAL DIVISION, GUNTAKAL.
4. THE ADDITIONAL DIVISIONAL RAILWAY MANAGER,, SOUTH CENTRAL RAILWAY, GUNTAKAL DIVISION, GUNTAKAL.
5. THE SENIOR DIVISIONAL PERSONNEL OFFICER,, SOUTH CENTRAL RAILWAY, GUNTAKAL DIVISION, GUNTAKAL.
6. THE SENIOR DIVISIONAL ENGINEER (CO-ORDINATION), SOUTH CENTRAL RAILWAY, GUNTAKAL DIVISION, GUNTAKAL.
7. THE ASSISTANT DIVISIONAL ENGINEER (SPECIAL WORKS), SOUTH CENTRAL RAILWAY, GUNTAKAL DIVISION, GUNTAKAL.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

- 1.YERRI SWAMY, S/O. THIPPANNA EX-GANGMAN, G.NO. 10/BELLARY, R/O. SIDURPADU VILLAGE, BEVANALA POST, ALARVI MANDAL, KURNOOL DISTRICT.
- 2.THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, HYDERABAD BENCH, HACA BHAVAN, OPP: PUBLIC GARDEN, HYDERABAD.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

- 1.VENNA HEMANTH KUMAR(CENTRAL GOVERNMENT COUNSEL)
- 2.ADHI VENKATESWARA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

- 1.ADHI VENKATESWARA RAO

The Court made the following:

Date of reserved for orders : -----
Date of pronouncement : 06-07-2026
Date of uploading : 17-07-2026

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE PURUSHOTTAM KUMAR
CHINTALAPUDI

WRIT PETITION No.3891 of 2006

ORDER: *(per Hon'ble Sri Justice Ravi Nath Tilhari)*

The writ petition was dismissed for default on 05.12.2024. However, the said order was recalled and the application for condonation of delay was also allowed. The writ petition was restored to its original number, by order dated 15.10.2025.

2. The office has submitted the service report of the respondents and as per that report, the respondents are served.

3. None appears for the petitioners.

4. The Writ Petition is dismissed for want of prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

5. As a sequel, interlocutory applications pending if any, shall stand closed.

RAVI NATH TILHARI, J

PURUSHOTTAM KUMAR CHINTALAPUDI, J

Date: 06.07.2026
Pab

Whether the order is:

Speaking	☒	Reasoned	
Reportable		Non-reportable	☒

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE PURUSHOTTAM KUMAR
CHINTALAPUDI

WRIT PETITION No.3891 of 2006

DATE: 06.07.2026

Pab