



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

MONDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 4191/2026

Between:

1. KAMBAGOUNI MANOHAR GOUD,, S/O. CHINNA VENKATESWARLU, AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURIST, R/O. PONNAPURAM VILLAGE, NANDYAL MANDAL NANDYAL DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH-518502.
2. KAMBAGOUNI MOHAN GOUD,, S/O. MADDILETY, AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURIST, R/O. PONNAPURAM VILLAGE NANDYAL MANDAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH-518502.
3. JAGATI RAMULAMMA,, W/O. LATE VENKATA DURGA RAO OCC AGRICULTURIST, R/O. PONNAPURAM VILLAGE, NANDYAL MANDAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH-518502.
4. JAGATI LAKSHMI VENKATA SUBBAIAH,, S/O. JAGATI SUBBANNA, AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURIST, R/O. PONNAPURAM VILLAGE, NANDYAL MANDAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH-518502.
5. JAGATI SEKHAR GOUD,, S/O. NAGACHANDRUDU, AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURIST, R/O. PONNAPURAM VILLAGE, NANDYAL MANDAL NANDYAL DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH-518502.
6. JAGATI JANARDHAN GOUD,, S/O. NAGABHUSHANAM, AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURIST, R/O. PONNAPURAM VILLAGE, NANDYAL MANDAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH-518502.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, ANDHRA PRADESH-522237.
- 2.THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, ANDHRA PRADESH, MANGALAGIRI, GUNTUR DISTRICT -522237.
- 3.THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, NANDYAL DISTRICT, NANDYAL, ANDHRA PRADESH-518502.
- 4.THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, NANDYAL TALUK POLICE STATION, NANDYAL MANDAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH-518502.
- 5.SRI PALUGULA SIVA SANKARAIH, S/O. CHANDRASEKHARAIH, AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURIST, R/O. PONNAPURAM VILLAGE, NANDYAL MANDAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH-518502

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

- 1.GAJJALA MALLIKARJUNA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

- 1.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Writ Petition has been filed for the following relief:

“...to issue an appropriate Writ, Order, or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS, declaring the action of the 4th Respondent in interfering with the civil dispute pending between the petitioners and the 5th Respondent in O.S. No. 96 of 2018 on the file of the Principal Junior Civil Judge, Nandyal, without following the procedure established by law is illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the 4th Respondent not to interfere in the civil dispute pending between the petitioners and the 5th Respondent till the disposal of the OS No. 96 of 2018 pending before the Principal Junior Civil Judge, Nandyal except in accordance with due process of law...”

2. Heard the learned counsel for Petitioners and learned Assistant Government Pleader.

3. Learned counsel for the Petitioners submits that the police have interfered in the civil dispute between the Petitioners and Respondent No.5 in O.S.No.96 of 2018 on the file of the learned Principal Junior Civil Judge, Nandyal, without following due process of law.

4. Per contra, Sri P.Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader, on written instructions, submits that the police have never interfered with the civil dispute pending between the Petitioners and Respondent No.5. It is submitted that Respondent No.5 obtained an order of temporary injunction in I.A.No. 500 of 2018 in O.S.No. 96 of 2018, granted by the learned Principal Junior Civil Judge, Nandyal. It is further submitted that the said order was confirmed in C.M.A.No.2 of 2019 by the learned III Additional District Judge, Kurnool at Nandyal, on 05.01.2022, and the same is still in force.

5. The record also reveals that Respondent No.5 approached this Court by filing W.P.No.31516 of 2025, and this Court, by order dated 14.11.2025, directed the police authorities to look into the grievance of the petitioner therein and to take measures in accordance with law. It is also relevant to mention that a learned Single Judge of this Court, in W.P.No.17006 of 2021 filed by some of the Petitioners herein, disposed of the Writ Petition recording the submissions of the Municipal authorities that they were not interfering and were not allowing any road in the said rasta.

6. Be that as it may, when there is a civil dispute pending between the Petitioners and Respondent No. 5, the police should not interfere in the civil dispute between the parties.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing Respondent No.4 further not to interfere in the civil dispute pending between the Petitioners and Respondent No.5.

8. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 16.02.2026

PRA

153

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 4191 of 2026

Date: 16.02.2026
PRA