

[3328]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA

PRASAD

WRIT PETITION NO: 4174 OF 2026

Between:

Mulakala Jyothi Lakshmi, Age 57yrs, W/o M.Subbarayudu, R/o D.No.19-1-10/A20, Chintala Chenu, Tirupati, Tirupati District.517501

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Prl.Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District-522238
2. The Tirupati Municipal Corporation, rep by Municipal Commissioner, Tirupati 517501

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue order direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declare the action of the respondent in not taking action on the complaint made by the petitioner 31/01/2026 on the intended illegal construction efforts made by some unknown persons adjacent to my plot No.1 in R.S.No.623/3L, 8A/1C which was approved by TUDA No.440/G1/88 which was meant for 60ft road is illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the provisions of Municipal Corporations Act, 1955 and consequently direct the respondents to take action on the complaint made by the petitioner on 31/01/2026 in accordance with the provisions of Municipal Corporations Act, 1955 and any other law.

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to take steps for the protection of "Public Street" with in the meaning of Municipal Corporations Act, 1955 including restraining unauthorised persons from making any construction on the "Public Street" and any other law for the time being in force and Pending disposal of WP 4174 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The petition/Appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of J RAVI KUMAR Advocate for the Petitioner(s), GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP Advocate for the Respondent No.1, Sri P.Venkata Reddy, Standing Counsel for Municipal Corporation for Respondent No.2 and the Court made the following.

ORDER

"Heard Sri J. Ravi Kumar, learned Counsel for the Writ Petitioner.

2. The impugned Proceeding at page 11 (Ex.P.1) is a photocopy which is dim and unreadable.

3. Registrar (Judicial) is directed to verify and identify the Officer, who has scrutinized this Writ Petition and reprimand him/her for being negligent in allowing Ex.P.1 to be filed contrary to the filing Rules.

4. Registry is directed to convey a copy of this Order to the Registrar (Judicial) forthwith for effective compliance.

5. Liberty to the Petitioner's Counsel to file typed copy of Ex.P.1.

6. List on 24.02.2026.

//TRUE COPY//

F

**Sd/-U.SRIDEVI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

SECTION OFFICER

To,

- 1. The Registrar Judicial, High Court of Ap.**
- 2. One spare copy**

HIGH COURT

GRKP,J

DATED: 18/02/2026

LIST ON 24.02.2026

ORDER

WP.No.4174 of 2026

DIRECTION

