

APHC010067492018



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3209]

TUESDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA

WRIT PETITION No: 2959 of 2018

Between:

- 1.A. MALAKONDAIAH, S/O. NAGIAH, 50 YRS., AGRICULTURIST,
R/ O. VELAGAPADU VILLAGE, KALIGIRI MANDAL, SPSR NELLORE
DISTRICT.
- 2.TAGARAM RAMAIAH, S/O. NARSAIAH, 65 YEARS, AGRICULTURIST,
R/O. VELAGAPADU VILLAGE, KALIGIRI MANDAL, SPSR NELLORE
DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

- 1.STATE OF AP, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE
DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI,
GUNTUR DISTRICT.
- 2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT,
NELLORE.
- 3.THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, KAVALI, SPSR NELLORE
DISTRICT.
- 4.THE TAHSILDAR, KALIGIRI MANDAL, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT.
- 5.MR.MONDA MOHAN RAO, S/O. MUSALAIAH, AGE 45 YRS.,
R/O. VELAGAPADU VILLAGE, KALIGIRI MANDAL, SPSR NELLORE
DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.Mr.K RATHANGA PANI REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.Mr.PAPAIAH PEDDAKULA

2.GP FOR REVENUE (AP)

The Court made the following Order:

The writ petition is filed seeking the following relief:

“.....to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 4th respondent-Tahsildar in amending the revenue records by deleting the names of the petitioners from the column of possessors and enjoyers from CJFS land admeasuring Ac.1.00 cents and Ac.1.25 cents respectively in Survey No.512 of Velagapadu Village, Kaligiri Mandal, SPSR Nellore District by including the same in the name of 5th respondent without issuing any notice and enquiry and only for ulterior reasons and further action of the 4th respondent issuing 145 proceedings to deprive the possession and enjoyment of land by the petitioners which is prima facie a colorable exercise of power, as illegal, arbitrary and consequently direct the 4th respondent to restore the entries as were existing as on 02.06.2017 for the aforesaid lands of the petitioners and pass such other order or orders.”

2. Heard Mr.K.Rathangapani Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioners. Also heard Mr.K.Arjun Chowdary, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue representing the official respondents 1 to 4 and Mr.M.Sai Naik for respondent No.5.

3. Though the learned counsel for the petitioners sought to advance arguments with reference to the averments made in the writ petition in detail, at the time of hearing, it is noted that considering the representation made to the Revenue Divisional Officer / 3rd respondent, the matter was taken up for examination and the same is pending before the said authority. As per the latest instructions dated 10.11.2025, it would appear that the Revenue Divisional Officer issued notices to the petitioners as also the 5th respondent to attend for an enquiry in his office on 18.11.2025 along with the documentary evidences in support of the case of the respective parties.

4. In view of the same and as agreed to by counsel on both sides, the writ petition is disposed of, providing that the Revenue Divisional Officer / 3rd respondent shall pass appropriate orders, strictly in accordance with the Law, after giving due opportunity of hearing to the petitioners as also to the 5th respondent, as expeditiously as possible. No costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

NINALA JAYASURYA, J

Date: 11.11.2025
BLV

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA

W.P.No.2959 of 2018

Date: 11.11.2025

BLV