



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3332]

**TUESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

WRIT PETITION NO: 3778/2026

Between:

1.S GAYATHRI, W/O SURENDRA BABU AGE 31 YEARS, OCC FP
SHOP DEALER FOR SHOP NO.1111020, RAGHUNADHAPURAM
VILLAGE BADVEL MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DIST-516227.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP.BY ITS PRINCIPLE
SECRETARY CIVIL SUPPLIES DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DIST. 522201

2.THE COLLECTOR CS, YSR KADAPA DIST., AT KADAPA. 516227

3.THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, BADVEL TOWN, YSR
KADAPA DIST.-516227

4.THE TAHSILDAR, BADVEL MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DIST.-516227

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue a writ or direction preferably writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in not considering the letter addressed by the 4th respondent vide Ref.No.B545/2025 dt 3-1-2026 for issuing necessary proceedings in favour of petitioner for resuming her shop pursuant to the letter dt 23-12-2025 submitted by her wherein she stated that she is recovered from her ill-health and allow her to run the shop in respect of FP Shop No.1111020, Raghunadhapuram Village, Badvel Mandal, YSR

Kadapa Dist., is illegal, arbitrary, and violative of principles of natural justice and consequentially direct the respondents to release the essential commodities in favour of the petitioner and allow her to continue the subject shop as usual, and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to Direct the respondents to continue the petitioner as FP shop dealer in respect of FP Shop No.1111020, Raghunadhapuram Village, Badvel Mandal, YSR Kadapa Dist as usual by supplying the essential Commodities for distribution to the holders, pending disposal of the writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.M M M SRINIVASA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Despite making a representation dated 23.12.2025 requesting the respondent authorities to allow the petitioner to run Fair Price Shop No.1111020 of Raghunadhapuram Village, Badvel Mandal, YSR Kadapa District, no orders have been passed, is the grievance of the petitioner.

2. Heard Sri MMM Srinivasa Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Vineeth Appasani, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Civil Supplies appearing for the respondents.

3. While reiterating the contents of the affidavit, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that, due to ill health, the petitioner made a representation requesting authority to grant leave. Accordingly, the same was considered and granted three months time by virtue of proceedings dated 27.09.2025. After recovering from ill health and within stipulated time, she made an application on 23.12.2025 requesting the authority to give back the Fair Price Shop to her. The 4th respondent/Tahsildar in turn sent a report to the 3rd respondent/Revenue Divisional Officer concerned to consider the petitioner's application vide proceedings dated 03.01.2026. Despite such recommendation, so far the 3rd respondent/Revenue Divisional Officer has not passed any orders. It would suffice for the time being if a direction is given to the authorities to pass orders on the petitioner's application in accordance with law.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader reported no objection for passing such orders.

5. The petitioner, who is a Fair Price Shop dealer of shop No.1111020, due to ill health made a representation seeking leave. Accordingly, three months time was granted as sought by the petitioner. Before expiry of said three months, as the petitioner recovered from ill health, she made a representation requesting to give back the subject Fair Price Shop to her. The 4th respondent/Tahsildar, in turn, sent proceedings to the 3rd respondent/Revenue Divisional Officer recommending consideration of the petitioner's application. Now, the grievance is that, the 3rd respondent/Revenue Divisional Officer has not passed any orders. As the relief sought is innocuous, this Writ petition can be disposed of directing the 3rd respondent/Revenue Divisional Officer to pass appropriate orders on the petitioner's representation dated 23.12.2025 and recommendation made by the 4th respondent/Tahsildar dated 03.01.2026 within a period of four (04) weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is ***disposed of***. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications, pending if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

Date: 10.02.2026.
JLV

18

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

WRIT PETITION NO: 3778/2026

Date: 10.02.2026.
JLV