



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

THURSDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 3804/2026

Between:

1.BHUMIREDDY RAMA LAKSHUMMA, W/O.ANIMI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS, HOUSEWIFE, R/O.D.NO.1-81-A, KAMBALLI VILLAGE, LOMADA POST, SIMHADRI PURAM MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.522237

2.THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, PULIVENDULA, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.

3.THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, SIMHADRI PURAM POLICE STATION

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.HARINADH NIDAMANURI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 3804/2026

ORDER:

The Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:-

“...to issue a Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus, declaring the action of the Respondents 2 and 3 in interfering into the civil disputes and calling the petitioner to the Police Station and pressurizing the petitioner to settle the civil disputes, which is illegal, improper, arbitrary and violation of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India, consequently direct the Respondents 2 and 3 not to interfere into the civil disputes and not to harass the petitioner by calling her to the Police Station, and pass....”

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader.

3. Sri Harinadh Nidamanuri, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that respondent Nos.2 and 3 are interfering in the civil disputes between the petitioner and third parties and calling the petitioner to the Police Station and pressurizing the petitioner to settle the civil disputes.

4. Sri P. Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader, submits that the petitioner has filed a suit in O.S.No.163 of 2024 on the file of the learned Junior Civil Judge, Pulivendula seeking partition and the same is pending adjudication. It is further submitted that a case in Crime No.5 of 2020 was registered against the husband of the petitioner and for the purpose of investigation, respondent Nos.2 and 3 called the petitioner to the Police Station. Upon investigation, it was revealed that the dispute is civil in nature.

5. Considering the above submissions of the learned Assistant Government Pleader, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 not to further call the petitioner to the Police Station or interfere in the civil disputes between the petitioner and third parties further in connection with O.S.No.163 of 2024 on the file of the learned Junior Civil Judge, Pulivendula. However, if any crime is registered against the petitioner, the police are at liberty to proceed in accordance with law.

6. In the result, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 19.02.2026

Pmk

191

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 3804 of 2026

Date: 19.02.2026
Pmk