



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3459]

WEDNESDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 3634/2026

Between:

1. SUDHANAGUNTA RAGHAVAIAH, S/O. VENKATA SUBBAIAH AGED 79 YEARS, R/O. MUKTHINUTHALAPADU VILLAGE, ONGOLE MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT. ONGOLE MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.
2. SUDANAGUNTA KOTESWARA RAO, S/O. VENKATESWARLU, AGED 64 YEARS, R/O. MANGAMURU DONKA, SIVAJI NAGAR, ONGOLE, PRAKASAM DISTRICT. 3.
3. KALASANI SUMATHI,, W/O. BHANU PRASAD, AGED 65 YEARS. FIAT NO.203, TIRUMULA GRAND APARTMENT, VIDYA NAGAR GUNTUR, GUNTUR DISTRICT. 4.
4. SUDANAGUNTA MURALI KRISHNA, S/O. PAPAIAH, AGED 58 YEARS, R/O. MUKTHINUTHALAPADU VILLAGE ONGOLE MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT. 5.
5. SUDANAGUNTA KOTESWARA RAO,, S/O. CHENCHU RAMAIAH, AGED 74 YEARS, R/O. KAMMAPALEM, PERNAMITTA RURAL, ONGOLE, PRAKASAM DISTRICT. 6.
6. SUDANAGUNTA RAVINDRA BABU RAMESH, S/O. NAGABUSHANAM, AGED 50 YEARS, R/O. MUKTHINUTHALAPADU VILLAGE,

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF AP, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT. 2.
- 2.DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ONGOLE, PRAKASAM DISTRICT. 3.
- 3.JOINT COLLECTOR, ONGOLE, PRAKASAM DISTRICT. 4.
- 4.REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, ONGOLE REVENUE DIVISION, PRAKASAM DISTRICT. 5
- 5.TAHSILDAR, ONGOLE MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toPleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of the 3RDrespondent in not taking any steps on the proceedings issued by the 4TH respondent vide Rc.D/1325/2025 dated 24.10.2025 and proceedings of the 5TH respondent vide Rc.605/2025 dated 20.09.2025 thereby requesting to issue orders for mutating the petitioners name in webland revenue records pertaining to property an extent of Ac. 5.10 cents covered by Sy.No. 15/1 situated in Muktinuthalapadu Village, Ongole Mandal, Praksam District is illegal, irrational, irregular and violative of principles of natural justice, and amounts to non-discharge of legal obligation conferred on him under the provisions of Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Passbooks Act, 1971 and rules framed there under and consequently direct the 3rd respondent to take steps on the recommendations made by the 4TH and 5TH respondents and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to direct the 3rd respondent to take steps on the recommendation s made by the and 5TH respondent dated 24.10.2025 and 20.09.2025 forthwith, pending disposal of the main writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.NAVULURU KRISHNA SAI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following ORDER:

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the land in Survey No.15 situated in Muktinuthalapadu Village, Ongole Mandal, Prakasam District, originally comprised of Acs.21.80 cents. Subsequently, upon subdivision, an extent of Ac.5.10 cents was designated as Survey No.15/1 and assigned to one Abdul Khader on 30.12.1927 vide Patta No.145. The petitioners' predecessors in interest thereafter acquired the said property through valid purchase.

2. Upon verification of the online revenue records, i.e., Webland, the petitioners came to know that their names were not reflected therein. Consequently, the petitioners filed an application seeking mutation of their names in the official revenue records.

3. The 5th respondent previously submitted a report dated 09.05.2025 to the 3rd respondent for mutation. Thereafter, the 4th respondent initiated proceedings dated 04.07.2025 to the 3rd respondent seeking necessary instructions for entry of the petitioners' names. Following further verification, the 4th and 5th respondents submitted revised reports on 20.09.2025 and 24.10.2025 respectively, and the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the respondent have not taken any action thereafter. Therefore, the petitioners requests this Court to direct respondent Nos.4 and 5 to pass orders on the revised reports dated 20.09.2025 and 24.10.2025.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader sought time to get necessary instructions from the department regarding the status of these reports.

5. The final instructions and formal orders remain pending despite the recommendations submitted by the revenue authorities. Therefore, without delving into the merits of the case, this Court finds it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with the following directions:

- i. The concerned respondents are directed to finalize the proceedings and pass appropriate orders on the recommendations dated 20.09.2025 and 24.10.2025, providing a reasonable opportunity of being heard to the petitioners and other interested parties, so as to ensure adherence to the principles of natural justice.
 - ii. The entire process, including communication of the orders to the petitioners, shall be completed within a period of three (03) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.
6. With the above direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

Date: 06.05.2026
rsd

265

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 3634/2026

Date: 06.05.2026
rsd