

APHC010061762026



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

TUESDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 3660/2026

Between:

Killari Malliswari and Others

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.M S S SWAYAM PRAKASH BABU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:-

“...to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one In the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of respondents particularly of Respondent Nos.3 to 5 at the instance of Respondent No.6 in harassing the petitioners by calling over phone summoning to the Office of 5th Respondent and insisting them to settle the alleged dispute with the 6th Respondent by yielding to his terms and by executing required document by the 1st Petitioner alienating her properties in favour of the Respondent as sought for in the Civil Suit in OS.No.162/2013 on the file of Honble Court of X Additional District Judge Tirupathi filed for decree and Judgement of Specific Performance of the alleged agreement and other consequential reliefs without defending the same and frequently interfering with petitioners peaceful living liberty and pursuing the legitimate right of defense before the court as illegal irregular irrational without any authority of law and

violative of Articles 14 and 21 of Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents particularly the Respondent Nos.3 to 5 not to interfere in any manner with the legitimate right of the Petitioners to defend the claim of the 6th Respondent in the suit as well as their our personal liberty and property pass other order(s)....”

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were called to the police station and were insisted upon to settle the civil dispute pending between them and Respondent No. 6.

4. Sri P. Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on written instructions, submits that one Sujathamma lodged a complaint against the petitioners, which was registered as a case in Crime No.139 of 2025 and taken up for investigation. It is further submitted that upon receipt of a 112 call from Sujathamma, the police called the petitioners and merely advised them not to disturb law and order, and that the police have not interfered in any civil dispute. It is also submitted that eight cases are pending against the petitioners.

5. Be that as it may, in respect of any civil dispute pending between the petitioners and Respondent No. 6, the police shall not interfere in such civil matters.

6. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, and recording the submissions of the learned Assistant Government Pleader, the Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent-police not to interfere in the civil dispute between the petitioners and Respondent No. 6 or any other private individuals, except in accordance with law.

7. In the result, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 17.02.2026

KMS

177

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION No.3660 of 2026

Date: 17.02.2026

KMS