



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

MONDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 3566/2026

Between:

MS. MEESALA MANORAMA,, W/O. RAMA MOHAN RAO, AGED
ABOUT 50 YEARS, R/O. H.NO. 4-92/57/208, FLAT NO. 208, VIRAT
BLOCK, BAKKANNA PALEM, MADHURAWADA, VISAKHAPATNAM
DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH. 530048

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, AMARAVATI,
ANDHRA PRADESH. 522239
2. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, ANDHRA PRADESH STATE,
MANGALAGIRI, ANDHRA PRADESH. 522503
3. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT,
ANDHRA PRADESH. 530002
4. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, PM PALEM POLICE STATION,
VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH. 530041.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

BHOOMA MAHARSHI REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:-

“...to issue an appropriate writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the respondent police authorities in not registering a First Information Report on the petitioners complaint dated 27.07.2025 and in not acting upon the representation dated 29.01.2026 submitted to the Superintendent of Police Visakhapatnam District as illegal arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents to forthwith register a First Information Report consider and act upon the said representation in accordance with law conduct a fair impartial and timebound investigation under the supervision of a senior police officer initiate appropriate departmental action against the erring officials for dereliction of statutory duty provide necessary protection to the petitioner and her family members and pass....”

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader.

3. Sri Bhooma Maharshi Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the complaint dated 29.01.2026 submitted by the petitioner has not been registered. He further submits that the petitioner initially lodged a complaint on 27.07.2025 before respondent No.4, but as no action was taken thereon, she was constrained to lodge a complaint before respondent No.3; however, even the said complaint was not acted upon.

4. Sri P. Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader, on written instructions, submits that the complaint of the petitioner does not disclose a

cognizable offence and, therefore, respondent No.3 and respondent No.4 have not acted upon the same.

5. Be that as it may, the petitioner has an alternative and efficacious remedy before the learned jurisdictional Magistrate by way of filing a private complaint. If the petitioner is of the view that her complaint discloses a cognizable offence and is liable to be registered as an FIR, and that respondent No.4 has failed to register the same or that respondent No.3 has not issued appropriate instructions to respondent No.4 to register the case, she is at liberty to avail the remedy under Section 190 read with Section 200 of 'the Cr.P.C.,'/Section 210 read with Section 223 of 'the BNSS'.

6. In view of the above, the Writ Petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the learned jurisdictional Magistrate by way of filing a complaint under Section 190 read with Section 200 of 'the Cr.P.C.,'/Section 210 read with Section 223 of 'the BNSS'. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 09.02.2026

RSI

399

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 3566 of 2026

Date: 09.02.2026
RSI