



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

MONDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 3582/2026

Between:

S.sujathamma

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.L J VEERA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

The Court made the following:

Rule Nisi. Call for records.

Learned Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development takes notice on behalf of the respondents 1 and 2.

Sri M.Sudhir, learned Standing counsel, takes notice, offers to file vakalat on behalf of the 3rd respondent and seeks time to file counter-affidavit.

Impugning the notice dated 02.02.2026 (Ex.P1), issued by the Panchayat Secretary of the 3rd respondent, the petitioner filed the above writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the impugned order *vide* R.No.Court/1/2026 dated 02.02.2026 (Ex.P1) is contrary to the orders passed in W.P.Nos.19820 and 252 of 2025 dated 26.09.2025. Learned counsel would further contend that after disposal of the aforementioned writ petitions, no fresh survey was conducted. The same was asserted in parta-9 of the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition.

Given the facts and circumstances, the 3rd respondent shall not take any coercive steps pursuant to the notice dated 02.02.2026 (Ex.P1).

List the matter on 09.03.2026.

SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J
SNI