



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3233]

MONDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 3607/2026

Between:

1. GANGU NAGENDRA REDDY, S/O LATE SATYANARYAAN REDDY
AGED 49 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURIST R/O 2-124, RAMALAYAM
STREET, LINGAPALAM MANDAL LINGAPALAM WEST GODAVARI
DISTRICT 534462
2. MERUGU PULLA RAO, S/O MERUGU VENKAYYA AGED ABOUT 56
YEARS OCC. AGRICULTURIST R/O 11-115, MALAPALLI,
CINTALAPUDI MANDAL, PRAGADAVARAM WEST GODAVARI
DISTRICT 534461

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, REP BY PRINCIPAL SECRETARY REVENUE
DEPARTMENT AP SECRETARIAT VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR
DISTRICT 522503
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ELURU DISTRICT 534006
3. THE SUB COLLECTOR, NUZVID 521201
4. THE TAHSILDAR, LINGAPALAM W G DISTRICT NOW ELURU
DISTRICT 534462
5. KUPPALA CHUKKAMMA, W/O VENKATESWARA RAO AGED 52
YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURIST R/O THUVACHILAKA RAYUDU
PALAM LINGAPALAM MANDAL W G DISTRICT 534462
6. KUPPALA SRINIVASA RAO, S/O VENKATESWARA RAO AGED 30

YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURIST R/O THUVACHILAKA RAYUDU
PALAM LINGAPALAM MANDAL W G DISTRICT 534462

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.CH SRINIVAS

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following Order:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondent Nos.1 to 4.

2. The issuance of notices to the respondent Nos.5 and 6 is dispensed with as no prejudice would cause to them even if it is disposed of in their absence.

3. This writ petition is filed questioning the notice issued by the 3rd respondent dated 28.01.2026 alleging that the petitioners are trying to engage in an unauthorized occupation of Pathway towards Agriculture fields of Ryots in the area covered by R.S.No.205 to 210 situated in Thochilaka Rayadu Palem Village of Lingapalem Mandal, West Godavari District.

4. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 submits that it is only a notice issued by the 3rd respondent dated 28.01.2026, for which, the petitioners can submit their explanation, so that, the 3rd respondent can follow the due procedure.

5. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, the petitioners are permitted to submit their explanation, enclosing all the necessary documents in support of their claim to the above said notice dated 28.01.2026 of the 3rd respondent within a period of four (04) weeks from the date of receipt of this order. On receipt of the same, the 3rd respondent shall proceed with the necessary enquiry, pursuant to the above said notice dated 28.01.2026,

strictly in accordance with law, by hearing all the parties concerned, including the petitioners and the respondent Nos.5 and 6, giving due opportunity, upon verification of the records and the subject land, appropriate decision shall be taken on its own merits as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four (04) months thereafter. The respondent Nos.5 and 6 shall also be given due opportunity to submit their explanation, enclosing all the necessary documents in support of their claim on par with the petitioners in the above said enquiry. Pending the above said enquiry, there shall be an order of *status quo* as on today to be maintained with respect to the subject land covered under the impugned notice of the 3rd respondent dated 28.01.2026.

6. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of. Interim order if any deemed to have been vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B. KRISHNA MOHAN

09.02.2026
PGT