



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3560]

**TUESDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 418/2020

Between:

1. KADA SURESH, S/O. KAMESWARA RAO, HINDU, AGED ABOUT 22 YEARS, STUDENT, R/O. D.NO. 4-46, INDRAPALEM VILLAGE, KAKINADA, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, A.P.
2. KADA NARA KUMARI, W/O. LATE KAMESWARA RAO, HINDU, AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS, HOUSE WIFE, R/O. D.NO. 4-46, INDRAPALEM VILLAGE, KAKINADA, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, A.P.
3. KADA HEMALATHA, D/O. KAMESWARA RAO, HINDU, AGED ABOUT 20 YEARS, STUDENT, R/O. D.NO. 4-46, INDRAPALEM VILLAGE, KAKINADA, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, A.P.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. KOMMANA VENKATA RAMANA, S/O SUBBA RAO, HOUSEWIFE, R/O. D.NO.10-117, INDRAPALEM, KAKINADA RURAL MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, A.P.
2. APPARI RADHA KRISHNA KUMARI, W/O. SRINU, AGED YEARS, REAL ESTATE BUSINESS, R/O. D.NO.11-46/1, INDRAPALEM, KAKINADA, KAKINADA RURAL MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, A.P.

3.KALLA KUMAR, S/O. VENKANNA, AGED YEARS, REAL ESTATE BUSINESS, R/O. D.NO. 11-29/2, INDRAPALEM, KAKINADA RURAL MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, A.P.

4.NAKKA SATTIBABU, S/O. NAGARAJU, , REAL ESTATE BUSINESS, INDRAPALEM, KAKINADA RURAL MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, A.P.

5.KADA YELLAMMA, W/O. SURANNA, AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS, HOUSEWIFE, INDRAPALEM, KAKINADA RURAL MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, A.P.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.K SUBRAHMANYAM

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO.418 OF 2020

ORDER

Being aggrieved and by dis-satisfied with an order dated 30.12.2019 passed by the learned IV Additional District Judge, Kakinada in I.A.No.1111/2018 in O.S.No.8 of 2014, the defendant/petitioner approached with this court in the instant Civil Revision Petition.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner firmly submits that the suit pending before the learned trial court - IV Additional District Judge, Kakinada, has already been disposed of. So, it is not necessary to proceed with the instant Revision Petition.

3. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and taken into consideration his submission, the instant Civil Revision Petition is disposed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

4. Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

KS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

279

CIVIL REVISION PETITIN NO.418 OF 2020

Dated 11.11.2025

KS