

[ 3396 ]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI  
THURSDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF FEBRUARY  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX



:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA  
CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 873 OF 2026

Between:

Reddammagari Praveen Kumar Reddy, S/o R.Peddi Reddy, aged about  
30 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o D-No.2-26, Urichinthala Village, Tadipatri  
Mandal, Anantapuramu Dist, Andhra Pradesh-515415.

Petitioner/A1

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Through SHO, Tadipatri Rural Police  
Station, Rep by Public Prosecutor, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, at  
Amaravati,

Respondent/Complainant

Petition under Sections 480 & 483 of BNSS, praying that in the  
circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Criminal Petition,  
the High Court may be pleased to grant regular bail to this petitioner/Accused  
No 01 in Crime No.213/2025 of Tadipatri Rural Police station, Ananthapuramu  
District on such terms and conditions as this Honorable Court deem fit to  
impose the facts of this case, so as to secure the ends of justice.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the  
grounds filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri  
THANDAVA YOGESH, Advocate for the Petitioner and of PUBLIC  
PROSECUTOR for the Respondent and the Court made the following;

**THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA****CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 873/2026****ORDER:**

This Criminal Petition, under Sections 480 and 483 of the BNSS, has been filed by the Petitioner herein/Accused No.1, seeking regular bail, in Crime No.213 of 2025 of Tadipatri Rural Police Station, Ananthapuramu District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 324(2), 351(2) r/w 3(5) of B.N.S.

2. The case of the prosecution in brief, is that, on 19.12.2025, at about 09.00 PM., while the defacto complainant and another by name Raja were staying in the room near Urichinthala Village, the A-1 came to them and started galata with them demanding payments, later hold the throat of the defacto complainant and pull down him while threatening to kill him and went away. Again at 10.00 PM., the A-1, along with other accused, came to the defacto complainant by holding iron rods, sickles and sticks and attacked the defacto complainant and one Raja. In the said incident, the de facto complainant suffered dumb injuries on his back and Raja received injuries to the left side of his head and his back. Basing on the complaint of the defacto complainant, a case in Crime No.213 of 2025 of Tadipatri Rural Police Station, Ananthapuramu District, was registered for the offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 324(2), 351(2) r/w 3(5) of B.N.S .

3. Heard Mr.Thandava Yogesh, learned counsel for the Petitioner/Accused No.1 and Mrs.K.Priyanka Lakshmi, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor on behalf of the State.

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner would submit that the Petitioner herein is the Accused No.1 and the Petitioner was falsely implicated in the alleged crime, he is no way concerned with the offence. He further submits that the all the material part of the investigation is completed. Therefore, no further custodial interrogation of the Petitioner/Accused is required. He would further submit that the present case was registered by the defacto complainant as a counter blast to the case in Crime No. 87 of 2025 of Tadipatri Taluk P.S., which is registered against the defacto complainant and three others. He would further submit that the Petitioner is ready and willing to furnish sufficient sureties to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court to secure his presence for the purpose of investigation in the event the Petitioner is enlarged on bail. Learned counsel finally prays to allow the present petition.

5. Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor on behalf of the State vehemently opposed the petition and contended that the investigation is still pending, wound certificate of the victim is received and it would show that the victim suffered simple injuries i.e., "abrasion on the back". Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor finally prays for dismissal of the petition.

6. Considering the submissions made, on perusal of the material placed on record and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the

case, that the crucial part of the investigation is over and wound certificate is also received, which shows that the victim received simple injuries. In that view, the question of tampering with the investigation or influencing the witnesses does not arise. This is not the stage to decide the culpability of the Petitioner/Accused by accepting the allegations. In that view of the matter, this Court is inclined to enlarge the Petitioner/Accused on regular bail.

7. In that view of the matter, Criminal Petition is allowed and the Petitioner/Accused No.1 hereby enlarged on regular bail on the following conditions;

- i. The Petitioner/Accused No.1 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs. **20,000/-** (Rupees Twenty Thousand ,only) with two sureties each for a like sum each, to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate of First Class Court, Tadipatri.
- ii. The Petitioner/Accused No.1 shall appear before the Investigating Officer as and when required and shall cooperate with further investigation, if any.
- iii. The Petitioner/Accused No.1 shall not directly or indirectly tamper with evidence nor influence, intimidate, or induce any prosecution witness.
- iv. The Petitioner/Accused No.1 shall not contact any of the prosecution witnesses or co-accused, except during legal proceedings.
- v. The Petitioner/Accused No.1 shall appear before the Station House Officer, concerned, once in a week i.e., on every Saturday between 10.00 a.m. and 5.00 p.m., till filing of charge sheet.

8. In the event of violation of any of the above conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail.

9. It is also made clear that the observations made in this order are only for the purpose of deciding the bail application and they shall not be construed as opinion on the merits of the Crime.

As a sequel thereto, the miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in this Criminal Petition shall stand closed.

**SDI-K.V.RAGHAVULU**  
**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**  
  
**SECTION OFFICER**

**//TRUE COPY//**

**To,**

1. The Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Tadipatri
2. The VI Additional Sessions Judge, Gooty
3. The Superintendent, District Jail, Ananthapuramu
4. The Station House Officer, Tadipatri Rural Police station,  
Ananthapuramu District
5. One CC to SRI. THANDAVA YOGESH Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court of AP [OUT]
7. One spare copy

KJ

**HIGH COURT**

**DR.VJP,J**

**DATED:12/02/2026**

**BAIL ORDER**

**CRLP.No.873 of 2026**

**ALLOWED**

