

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI
MAIN CASE: W.P.No.10523 of 2015
PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
	14.07.2026	<u>HN, J</u> Today, there is no representation on behalf of respondent Nos.4 to 6/unofficial respondents. The grievance of the petitioner is that respondent No.2 has passed the impugned proceedings, whereby respondent No.2 has determined the title to the subject property. It is submitted that respondent No.2 is not competent to determine the title to the property. The learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondent No.2 filed counter affidavit and submitted that the impugned order was passed in terms of the directions issued by this Court in W.P. No.989 of 2015 and that respondent No.2 has not determined the title, but has merely referred to the title documents furnished by the petitioner and the unofficial respondents. It is also submitted that, in order to resolve the dispute, the petitioner and the unofficial respondents may submit an application seeking a fresh survey, and both parties shall produce their respective title documents for reference during the conduct of the survey by respondent No.2.	

		Post the matter on 28.07.2026, under the caption "For Orders." KGM <u>HN, J</u>	
--	--	--	--