



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3329]

THURSDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 449/2026

Between:

1. MANDALI LAKSHMANA RAO,, S/O. KRISHNA RAO, AGED 70
YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, RIO. D.NO.20-524-A, UPSTAIRS,
PARASUPETA, MACHILIPATNAM, KRISHNA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. SRI M M NAIK I A S, PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF
SOCIAL WELFARE, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR
DISTRICT.

2. SRI PEEYUSH KUMAR I A S, PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, FINANCE
AND PLANNING DEPARTMENT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI,
GUNTUR DISTRICT.

3. SRI KOTHAPALLI SUBBARAYUDU, MANAGING DIRECTOR, AP
STATE KAPU WELFARE AND DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD.,
OFFICE AT 12-467, 3RD FLOOR, POTHURI MERCANTILE, NH-16
SERVICE ROAD, TADEPALLI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

...CONTEMNOR(S):

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying
that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may
be pleased to please to punish the Respondents under Section 10 and 12

of the Contempt of Court Act for willful violation of the orders of this Hon'ble Court dated 18.09.2024 passed in WP.No.817 of 2024

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.B S N NAIDU

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1.U KANAKA RAJU

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA**CONTEMPT CASE NO:449/2026****ORDER:**

This contempt case is filed against the respondents for willful disobedience of the orders dated 18.09.2024 passed by this Court in W.P.No.817 of 2024.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the orders dated 18.09.2024 passed by this Court in W.P.No.817 of 2024 are complied with and also issued proceedings dated 09.04.2026 to that effect. Therefore, no cause survives and no further orders are required to be passed in the contempt case.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner does not refute the submission made by the learned counsel for the respondents and requested this Court to close the present contempt case granting liberty.

5. Recording the submissions made, the Contempt Case is closed, granting liberty to the petitioner for initiating separate proceedings for further claim, if any. No order as to costs.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in the contempt case shall stand closed.

09.04.2026
BSP

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J

32

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

(closed)

Contempt Case No.449 of 2026

09.04.2026
BSP