



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

WEDNESDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 3090/2025

Between:

1.M/S N.V.G CONSTRUCTIONS,, REP., BY ITS MANAGING PARTNER,
K. VISWANATH S/O HANUME GOWDU, AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,
D.NO.2-5, 2ND FLOOR, ROOM NO.L, THOTAKANUMA VILLAGE,
VENKATAGIRI KOTA MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS,
VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, CHITTOOR DISTRICT AT CHITTOOR.

3.THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, PRI DIVISION, CHITTOOR, CHITTOOR
DISTRICT.

4.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, FINANCE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT
BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to may be pleased to issue a WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of the Respondents herein, in withholding the payment for Gross amount Rs. 13,03,649/- in Net amount of Rs. 11,93,484/- under the

CFMS.No.2024-173652 even after finalizing the bills payable to the petitioner in relation to the work i.e., Construction of CC Road from Rathnalakonda Gate to meet V. Kota-Nayakaneri Road in Mudaramdoddi habitation of Mudaramdoddi G.P in V.Kota Mandal vide Agreement No.579/2023-24 , Dt.06.02.2024 is questioned, as the same is illegal and arbitrary apart from violative of Articles 14, 16, 19 and 300-A of The Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to consider for payment for Gross amount s.13,03,649/- in Net amount of Rs. 11,93,484/- under the CFMS.No.2024-173652 with an interest @24 percent per annum for the delayed amount to the petitioner in respect of execution of above-mentioned work., forthwith and pass such

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to DIRECT the respondents to consider for payment for Gross amount Rs.13,03,649/- in Net amount of Rs. 11,93,484/- under the CFMS.No.2024- 173652 with regard to the completion of work i.e., “Construction of CC Road from Rathnalakonda Gate to meet V.Kota-Nayakaneri Road in Mudaramdoddi habitation of Mudaramdoddi G.P in V.Kota Mandal” vide Agreement No.579/2023-24, Dt.06.02.2024” with an interest @24% per annum for the delayed amount to the petitioner, pending disposal of the Writ Petition and pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.SURESH KUMAR REDDY KALAVA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

2.GP FOR FINANCE PLANNING

The Court made the following order:

Heard, Sri P.Chaitanya, learned counsel representing Sri Suresh Kumar Reddy Kalava, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P.Rajesh Kumar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, Finance and Planning, for the respondents.

2. The above writ petition was filed to declare the action of the respondents in withholding an amount of Rs.13,03,649/- payable to the petitioner in relation to the works executed i.e., Construction of CC Road from Rathnalakonda Gate to meet V.Kota-Nayakaneri Road in Mudaramdoddi habitation of Mudaramoddi Gram Panchayat in V.Kota Mandal vide Agreement No.579/2023-24 dated 06.02.2024, as illegal and arbitrary.

3. Today, when the matter is taken up, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj, submitted instructions of the Executive Engineer, PRI Division, Chittoor – 3rd respondent dated 21.04.2026.

4. A perusal of the said instructions would disclose that the petitioner has executed the aforementioned works for a total value of Rs.11,72,886/- and the net amount payable to the petitioner after statutory deductions of Rs.1,02,202/-, is Rs.10,70,684/-. The written instructions are made as part of the record.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner endorses the same.

6. Thus, as seen from the instructions, there is no dispute regarding the execution of the aforementioned works and the petitioner's entitlement for Rs.10,70,684/-. Since the amount payable is admitted and undisputed, the writ petition is maintainable. In ***M/s Utkal Highways Engineers and Contractors v. Chief General Manager & Ors***¹, it was held at Para No.8 as under:

“Be that as it may, the High court has not dealt with the merits of the writ petition. Moreover, it is not an inviolable rule that no money claim can be adjudicated upon in exercise of writ jurisdiction. Non-payment of admitted dues, inter alia, may be considered an arbitrary action on the part of respondents and for claiming the same, a writ petition may lie. Further, throwing a writ petition on ground of availability of alternative remedy after 10 years, particularly, when parties have exchanged their affidavits, is not the correct course unless there are disputed questions

¹ 2025 SCC online SC 1400

of fact which by their very nature cannot be adjudicated upon without recording formal evidence.”

7. Given the instructions furnished by the Executive Engineer, PRI Division, Chittoor – 3rd respondent, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the respondents to release the amount of Rs.10,70,684/- (Rupees Ten Lakhs Seventy Thousand Six Hundred and Eighty Four only) payable to the petitioner regarding execution of aforementioned works, within three (03) months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date: 06.05.2026
SNI

305

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 3090 of 2025

Date: 06.05.2026
SNI