



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

MONDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 3509/2026

Between:

M VENKATA RAMANA MURTHY, S/O LATE VENKATA RAJU, AGED 64YEARS, OCC SENIOR CITIZEN, R/O GANGARAJU MADUGULA VILLAGE AND MANDAL, ALLURI SITA RAMA RAJU DISTRICT (NEW), VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT (OLD) -531029, A.P.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT, A. P.522238
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, ALLURI SITA RAMA RAJU DISTRICT. AP.531133
3. THE SUB DIVISIONAL POLICE OFFICER, PADERU MANDAL, ALLURU SITA RAMA RAJU DISTRICT. 531133
4. GANGARAJU MADUGULA G MADUGULA POLICE STATION, REP BY ITS S.H.O,G. MADUGULA MANDAL, ALLURU SITA RAMA RAJU DISTRICT AP 531133
5. M VARAHALA RAJU, S/O LATE M. NARAYANA RAJU, AGED 52 YEARS, OCC NOT KNOWN, R/O G MADUGULA VILLAGE AND MANDAL. AP 531029
6. M VISWESWARA RAJU, S/O. LATE NARAYANA RAJU, AGED 50 YEARS, OCC NOT KNOWN, R/O G MADUGULA VILLAGE AND

MANDAL.. AP 531029

7. M M RAMA RAJU, S/O. M. SANYASI RAJU, AGED 51 YEARS, OCC NOT KNOWN, R/OG MADUGULA VILLAGE AND MANDAL.. AP 531029
8. K SUDHA RANI, W/O. K. GANGA RAJU, AGED 46 YEARS,. OCC NOT KNOWN, R/O G MADUGULA VILLAGE AND MANDAL.. AP 531029
9. K GOVINDAMMA, W/O. K. JANAKIRAMAIAH, AGED 56 YEARS, OCC NOT KNOWN, R/O G MADUGULA VILLAGE AND MANDAL.. AP 531029
10. S GOPI, S/O CHUKKU, AGED 42 YEARS, OCC NOT KNOWN, R/O G MADUGULA VILLAGE AND MANDAL. A.P.
11. S CHIRANJEEVI, S/O. NOT KNOWN, AGED 44 YEARS, OCC NOT KNOWN, R/O G MADUGULA VILLAGE AND MANDAL. A.P.
12. I GATEELU, S/O. NOT KNOWN, AGED 48 YEARS, OCC NOT KNOWN, R/O G MADUGULA VILLAGE AND MANDAL. A.P.
13. M VIJAYA KUMARI, W/O. M. VARAHALA RAJU, AGED 48 YEARS, OCC NOT KNOWN, R/O G MADUGULA VILLAGE AND MANDAL. A.P.
14. M SAI KIRAN, S/O. NOT KNOWN, AGED 38 YEARS, OCC NOT KNOWN, R/O G MADUGULA VILLAGE AND MANDAL. A.P.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

BOMMINAYUNI APPARAO

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:-

“...to issue a Writ order or direction more particularly one in nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction on the part of Respondent No 4 in registering the Crime in pursuance of Complaint dt 17 09 2025 lodged by the Petitioner for taking appropriate legal action against Respondents No 5 to 14 and consequently direct the Respondents 2 to 4 to register Crime in pursuance of Petitioners Complaint dt 1709 2025 for setting the law in motion for punishing Respondent No 5 to 14 in accordance with law and pass....”

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader.
3. Sri Bomminayuni Appa Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the complaint dated 17.09.2025 submitted by the petitioner has not been registered by respondent No.4.
4. Sri P. Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader, on written instructions, submits that after conducting a preliminary enquiry as contemplated under Section 173(3) of 'the BNSS', respondent No.4 found that the dispute between the petitioner and the unofficial respondents is purely civil in nature and, therefore, could not proceed further with the complaint.
5. Recording the above submission, the Writ Petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to work out his alternative and efficacious

remedy before the learned jurisdictional Magistrate by way of filing a complaint under Section 190 read with Section 200 of 'the Cr.P.C.,'/Section 210 read with Section 223 of 'the BNSS'.

6. In the result, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 09.02.2026
RSI

397

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 3509 of 2026

Date: 09.02.2026
RSI