



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

WEDNESDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF FEBRUARY

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENUTHURUMALLI GOPALA KRISHNA RAO

IA No. 1 OF 2025

IN

TRCMP NO: 34 OF 2025

Between:

Vangara Radha Devi, W/o Pruthvi Ranganath, D/o Vangara Venkateswar Rao,
Hindu, Aged about 31 years, R/o. Uppaluru Village, Kankipadu Mandal, Krishna
District.

...Petitioner

AND

Chedulla Pruthvi Ranganath, S/o Ramachandraiah, Hindu, Aged 33 years, Occ:
Doctor, R/o. Flat No. 103, D-Block, CPR Enclove, Koramenugunta, Postal
Colony, Tirupati Rural Mandal, Chittoor District.

...Respondent

Petition under Section 24 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances
stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to
grant stay of all further proceedings in HMOP. No: 87 of 2024 on the file of Principal
Senior Civil Judge, Tirupati Pending disposal of TRCMP No. 34 of 2025.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the
memorandum filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of
Sri YARRAMSETTY VENKATESH Advocate for the Petitioner and the Court made
the following.

ORDER:

“Heard Sri Yerramsetty Venkatesh, learned counsel for the petitioner.

**Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is none
other than the wife of the respondent/husband, due to the matrimonial disputes**

between both the parties, the petitioner/wife is residing separately at her parents' house at Uppaluru Village, Kankipadu Mandal, Krishna District.

He would further contend that the petitioner had lodged a complaint Under Section 498-A IPC and under Sections 3 & 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, before Disha Women Police Station, Machilipatnam and the same is pending for investigation.

He would further contend that to cause unnecessary inconvenience to the petitioner/ wife the respondent/husband had filed H.M.O.P.No.87 of 2024, on the file of the Principal Senior Civil Judge, Tirupati, under Section 13(1)(ia) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, seeking dissolution of marriage. He would further contend that the distance between Kankipadu Mandal, Krishna District and Tirupati is approximately 300kms and therefore, it is very difficult for the petitioner to appear before the Principal Senior Civil Judge Court, Tirupati on each and every adjournment without any male support and that the petitioner/wife is constrained to file the present transfer petition.

Perused the record.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and for the reasons mentioned in the accompanying affidavit, there shall be an interim stay on all further proceedings including the appearance of the petitioner herein i.e. the respondent in H.M.O.P.No.87 of 2024, on the file of the Principal Senior Civil Judge, Tirupati, till 24.03.2025.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to the respondent through Registered Post with Acknowledgment Due and file proof of service before the Registry."

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-B.CHITTI JOSEPH
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

✓ To,

1. The Principal Senior Civil Judge, Tirupati.
2. Chedulla Pruthvi Ranganath, S/o Ramachandraiah, Hindu, Aged 33 years, Occ: Doctor, R/o. Flat No. 103, D-Block, CPR Enclove, Koramenugunta, Postal Colony, Tirupati Rural Mandal, Chittoor District. (By RPAD)
3. One CC to SRI. YARRAMSETTY VENKATESH Advocate [OPUC]
4. **One spare copy**

HIGH COURT

732

VGKR,J

DATED:05/02/2025

POST THE MATTER ON 24.03.2025

ORDER

IA No. 1 OF 2025
IN
TRCMP.No.34 of 2025

INTERIM STAY

