



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA
PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3333]

FRIDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 3363/2024

Between:

1. PROF. K KAILASA REDDY,, S/O LATE RAMALINGA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 78 YEARS, RETD PROFESSOR, ANDHRA UNIVERSITY, R/O 7-5-171, SRI VINAYAGAR APARTMENTS, PANDURANGA PURAM, VISAKHAPATNAM- 530003, AP.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. PROF M JAMES STEPHEN, REGISTRAR INCHARGE, ANDHRA UNIVERSITY, VISHAKHAPATNAM.

2. SMT K SAMATHA, THE INCHARGE VICE-CHANCELLOR, EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, ANDHRA UNIVERSITY, VISHAKHAPATNAM - 530 003

3. THE ANDHRA UNIVERSITY, VISAKHAPATNAM - 530 003. REP. BY ITS REGISTRAR. R 3 IMPEADED AS PER COURT ORDER DT.24.02.2025. VIDE IA NO.3 OF 2024. IN CC NO.3363 OF 2024.

...CONTEMNOR(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. CORPUS JURIS LAW PANEL LLP

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1.

2. GANGA KUMAR CHAKRAVARTULA

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This Contempt Case is filed for willful violation of the orders passed by this Court in W.P.No.19455 of 2023 on 03.08.2023, wherein this Court passed the following order:

"In view of the same, the petitioner is directed to make a fresh representation to the Registrar, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam i.e., 1st respondent herein, who is the competent authority to consider the case of the petitioner, within a period of one (1) week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of the same, the 1st respondent shall consider and pass appropriate orders on the petitioner's representation, in accordance with law, within a period of two (2) weeks, thereafter. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of."

2. Today, when the matter came up for hearing, Sri Ganga Kumar Chakravartula, learned counsel for the respondents, furnished a copy of the speaking orders dated 12.11.2025, passed by respondent No.3, in pursuance to the order dated 30.10.2025 passed by this Court.

3. Admittedly, there has been a delay in issuing the speaking orders, as this Court, vide orders dated 03.08.2023, directed the petitioner to submit a fresh representation to the Registrar, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam i.e., respondent No.1, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of the order. The 1st respondent shall consider and pass appropriate orders on the representation in accordance with law within a period of two (2) weeks, thereafter.

4. It appears that different orders were passed by different Officers. Earlier, speaking orders were passed by the previous Officer on 19.10.2024. But however, the said orders were not in compliance with the orders passed by this Court.

5. This Court directed the present Officer to pass appropriate speaking orders on 30.10.2025, in pursuance of which, once again, the speaking orders were passed on 12.11.2025.

6. In view of the same, this Contempt Case is closed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the speaking orders dated 12.11.2025. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, in this Contempt Case shall stand closed.

JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

14.11.2025
MH

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

14

CONTEMPT CASE NO:3363 OF 2024

14.11.2025
MH