


IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)
THURSDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY



: PRESENT:

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SRI JITENDRA KUMAR MAHESHWARI
WRIT PETITION NO: 2352 OF 2020

Between:

1. Kethineni Satyanarayana, S/o Venkata Ramaiah,
2. Boppana Naga Venkata Lakshmi Narasimha Rao, S/o Basaveswara Rao,
3. Vemulapalli Venkata Narayana, S/o Satyanarayana,
4. Boppana Venkata Narayana, S/o Subba Rao,

Petitioners

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The District Collector, Collectorate Campus, Machilipatnam, Krishna District.
3. The District Panchayat Officer, Krishna District, Machilipatnam.
4. The Pedapalaparru Grampanchayat, Rep. by its Secretary, Pedapalaparru Village, Mudinepalli Mandal, Krishna District.
5. Sri Pedapalaparru Ganganamma Thalli Trust, Rep. by its Managing Trustee, Ch. Gopi @Ch.S. Gopi, S/o Subba Rao, aged 62 years, R/o D.No.1-105, Pedapalaparru Village, Mudinepalli Mandal, Krishna District, A.P.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ or order, directions more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the official respondents in stopping the high handed construction of laying the first floor slab by the 5th respondent as illegal, arbitrary, biased unconstitutional against the principle of natural justice, and violative of the Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the official respondents to stop the high handed construction of laying the 1st floor slab by the 5th respondent;

IA NO: 2 OF 2020:

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to Stay of the high handed construction of laying first floor slab by the 5th respondent, pending disposal of WP 2352 of 2020, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and Orders of the High Court dated 31-01-2020, 31-08-2020 and 09-09-2020 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI D S N V PRASAD BABU Advocate for the Petitioners, GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ for the Respondents 1 & 3, SRI I KOTI REDDY (S.C.) for the Respondent 4, GP for Revenue for the Respondent 2 and M/s. Pillex law firm for the Respondent 5, the Court made the following.

ORDER:

(Through Video Conferencing)

"Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Interim order passed earlier shall remain in operation until further orders.

Immediately on resumption of physical hearing, the case be listed for further orders".

Sd/- R.Karthikeyan
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

For ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To,

1. One CC to SRI. D S N V PRASAD BABU Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ, High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
3. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
4. One CC to SRI. I KOTI REDDY (S.C.) [OPUC]
5. One CC M/s. Pillex law firm, Advocate [OPUC]
6. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

HCJ

DATED: 01/10/2020

ORDER

WP.No.2352 of 2020

EXTENSION OF INTERIM ORDER

