

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH : AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE: W.P.No.2533 of 2026

PROCEEDINGS SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	30.01.2026	<u>CMR, J & GTK, J</u> Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the secured asset was mortgaged to the 2nd respondent-bank by the principal borrower on 16.09.2014 and even prior to the said mortgage, the petitioner has purchased the said property under a registered sale deed dated 22.03.2014 and as such, the said mortgage is not valid. We have verified the details of the secured asset mentioned in the notice issued by the 2nd respondent-bank and also the details of the property mentioned in the copy of the registered sale deed produced by the petitioner. The registered sale deed contains two schedule properties. According to the petitioner, B-schedule property in the registered sale deed is the present secured asset. It is a house property bearing D.No.12-6-10. But, as can be seen from the notice issued under Section 13(4) of the SARFAESI Act, which is available at page No.17 of the material papers in the writ petition, the door number of the secured asset is 12-7-7. Therefore, the door number of the house property said to have been purchased by the petitioner is not tallying with the secured asset against which the bank has initiated measures under the SARFAESI Act.	

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there may be a change in the door number subsequently. But, he did not produce any proof to that effect. He requests time to produce the proof relating to the correlation of the said two door numbers and to show that there is a change in the door number. List the matter on 03.02.2026. CMR, J GTK, J IBL	