



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3332]

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

WRIT PETITION NO: 1594/2025

Between:

Chinnappareddy Srinivasa Reddy

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Ap and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. SHAIK MEERAVALI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

2. MARELLA RADHA

3. GP FOR FINANCE PLANNING

4. Mattegunta. Sudhir, Standing Counsel For Z.P.Ps, M.P.Ps, Gram
Panchayats

The Court made the following interim order:

The petitioner is claiming certain amount for the works executed by him and the 9th respondent is also claiming the said amounts. It was brought to the notice of this court that the 9th respondent has made a representation to the concerned authority requesting not to pay the amounts to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner reported no objection for conducting such an enquiry on the representation made by the 9th respondent.

3. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, it resolve the issue of who is entitled for payments of amount, as an interim measure, this Court is inclined to pass the following direction:

The District Panchayat Officer concerned is directed to pass orders on the representation made by the 9th respondent by duly hearing both the petitioner and the 9th respondent in accordance with law.

Post on 29.01.2026.

BRS

RAVI CHEEMALAPATI, J