



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3457]

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 17399/2013

Between:

1.C.GEETHAMMA, W/O.LATE THIMMA REDDY, OCC:UN-EMPLOYEE,
R/O.SETTUR VILLAGE AND MANDAL, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ANANTAPUR.

2.THE PROJECT DIRECTOR, DISTRICT HUMAN AND CHILD
DEVELOPMENT AGENCY, ANANTAPUR.

3.THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, DHARMAVARAM,
ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

4.THE CHILD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT OFFICER, KAMBADUR,
ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

5.SIDDAM VIMALA W/O SRINIVASULU, R/O.SETTUR VILLAGE AND
MANDAL, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue appropriate writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents No.1 to 4 in selecting the 5th respondent to the post of Anganavadi Worker in respect of Settur Village and Mandal of Anantapur District in the selections made on 28-02-2013 though the petitioner is meritorious than the 5th

respondent in all aspects is illegal, arbitrary, in violation of principles of natural justice and in violation of Art.14 and 21 of the Constitution of India consequently set-aside the 5th respondent selection and appoint the petitioner in the place of 5th respondent in the interest of justice and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WPMP 21151 OF 2013

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to appoint any candidate as Anganavadi worker in respect of Settur Village and Mandal of Anantapur District in the interest of justice and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1..

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

2.GP FOR SOCIAL WELFARE

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The petitioner is aggrieved by the appointment of the 5th respondent as Anganvadi worker. It is the case of the petitioner that the petitioner secured more marks when compared to the 5th respondent in the 10th class examinations. The petitioner states in the affidavit that respondent Nos.1 to 4 have erred in selecting the 5th respondent as Anganvadi worker though the 5th respondent is less meritorious as compared to the petitioner.

2. The learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 4 submits that the 2nd respondent has filed a detailed counter and that the selection committee has preferred the 5th respondent as a suitable candidate. It is further submitted that selection of Anganvadi worker is not solely based on the 10th class marks obtained. Due weightage was given to all candidates, who secured more marks in the 10th class examination. However the selection committee has awarded marks for other criteria as well and in overall merit the 5th respondent stood selected. Considering the submissions, no further orders are required.

3. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE HARINATH.N

Date: 21.04.2026
SR