

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE: **Writ Petition Nos.1692 and 3812 of 2026**

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
6.	22.6.2026	<u>RNT, J & SS, J</u> Objection with respect to the territorial jurisdiction of this Court to entertain these writ petitions has been raised. 2. Learned counsel for the writ petitioners submits that the writ petitioners are residents of the territorial jurisdiction of this Court. 3. The writ petitioners have filed these writ petitions challenging the interim order dated 28.07.2025 passed in O.A. No.841 of 2022 on the file of the Central Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad (Telangana). 4. By the said interim order, the respondents therein were directed to make sure that all necessary exercises shall be completed before the next date of hearing. In the meantime, further selection pursuant to Notification dated 15.07.2022 was stayed till the next date of hearing. 5. O.A. No.841 of 2022 was filed by respondent Nos.1 and 2 herein and is pending before the Tribunal. 6. The present petitioners were not arrayed as parties to the said O.A. However, being aggrieved and contending that the interim order directly affects their rights and interests, they filed M.A. Nos.386 and 387 of	

SL. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		2025 seeking impleadment as parties to the O.A. and also vacation of the interim order dated 28.07.2025. A counter was filed in the impleadment application, but no counter in the vacate stay application. 7. The Tribunal allowed the impleadment application. However, the application seeking vacation of the interim order was rejected by order dated 08.01.2026. 8. On 23.04.2026, a Co-ordinate Bench passed the following interim order : <i>“At request of learned counsel for unofficial respondents, list these matters on 30.04.2026.</i> <i>There shall be interim suspension of the order dated 28.07.2025 of Central Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad, passed in O.A.No.841 of 2022, till the next date of hearing.”</i> 9. Sri K.R.K.V. Prasad, learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos.1 and 2 through virtual mode, has raised a preliminary objection that the order dated 08.01.2026 rejecting the application for vacation of the interim order has not been challenged in the writ petitions and, therefore, no interim relief could be granted in these proceedings. 10. Sri B. Raj Kiran, learned counsel for the writ petitioners, submits that the order dated 08.01.2026 has been referred to in paragraph No.11 of the writ petitions.	

SL. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		He further submits that paragraph No.10 specifically states that the petitioners were not parties before the Tribunal. According to him, while the Tribunal allowed the impleadment application, it rejected the application for vacation of the interim order by order dated 08.01.2026 without assigning any reasons and consequently the Co-ordinate Bench rightly passed the interim order in the present writ petitions. 11. He, however, prays for time to take appropriate steps to amend the prayer to challenge the order dated 08.01.2026 as well. 12. List on 06.07.2026. 13. Interim order granted earlier is extended till the next date of hearing. 14. The objection with respect to the territorial jurisdiction of this Court is kept open to be considered on the next date of hearing. _____ RNT, J _____ SS, J RPD	

SL. No.	<i>DATE</i>	<i>ORDER</i>	<i>OFFICE NOTE</i>