



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3233]

MONDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 1885/2026

Between:

1. JUVVI VISWANATH, S/O. SRI J.SHANKAR NARAYANA, AGED
43 YEARS, OCC CULTIVATION, R/O.D.NO.5-158,
KAMMAPALYAM VILLAGE, KOTHACHERUVU MANDAL,
SRISATYASAI DISTRICT, AP 515133

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, REP.BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
REVENUE DEPARTMENT A.P. SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI,
AMARAVATI GUNTUR DISTRICT, AP 522238
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT,
PUTTAPARTHI ANDHRA PRADESH 515133
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, PUTTAPARTHI, SRI
SATYA SAI DISTRICT, AP 515133
4. THE TAHSILDAR, KOTHACHERUVU MANDAL, SRI SATYA SAI
DISTRICT, AP 515133

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of a writ of mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent authorities in not mutating petitioner name in

the revenue records such as Pattadar Passbook/Adangal/Pahani in respect of the land to an extent of Ac.0.70 cents in R.S.NO.308-2B, Ac. 1.02 cents in R.S.No.308-3A Ac.0.84 cents in R.S.No.275-4, Ac.0.91 cents in R.S.No.308-7, Ac.0.16 cents in R.S.No. 182-2 and Ac.0.33 cents in R.S.No.309-IA of Kapalabanda Village, Kothacheruvu Mandal, SrisatyaSai District and without following the due process of law as contemplated under A.P. Rights in Land and Pattadar Passbooks Act 1971 and the Rules made there under is highly illegal, colourable exercise of power, violative of Principles of natural justice and violative of Art. 19 86 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent Nos. 2 to 4 to mutate petitioner name in respect of the said land in Revenue Records and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to consider the application dt:-22- 12-2025 for mutation of revenue records pending disposal of the above writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.CHANDANA MYTHRI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following Order:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is filed questioning the action of the respondent authorities in not mutating the petitioner's name in the revenue records such as pattadar passbook/ adangal/ pahani in respect of the land to an extent of Ac.0.70 cents in R.S.No.308-2B, Ac.1.20 cents in R.S.No.308-3A, Ac.0.84 cents in R.S.No.275-4, Ac.0.91 cents in R.S.No.308-7, Ac.0.16 cents in R.S.No.182-2 and Ac.0.33 cents in R.S.No.309-1A of Kapalabanda Village, Kothacheruvu Mandal, Sri Satya Sai District without following the due process of law as contemplated under A.P.Rights in Land and Pattadar Passbooks Act, 1971 and the Rules made there under.

3. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleader relying upon the written instructions of the 4th respondent dated 22.01.2026 submits that the petitioner made a grievance in PGRS (Public Grievance Redressal System) vide complaint No.SSTS202509 2750, dated 27.09.2025 and a speaking order was given to the petitioner vide Rc.No.15/2025, dated 29.11.2025. The petitioner has not produced any document for grant of pattadar passbooks in his favour. As seen from the revenue records, it is noticed that as requested by the

petitioner the said lands were previously resumed to government vide proceedings in Rc.No.179/2008, dated 20.10.2008.

4. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, recording the said instructions of the 4th respondent dated 22.01.2026, no further orders can be passed in this writ petition. However, the petitioner is given liberty to work out his remedies in accordance with law alternatively if he is aggrieved of the above said orders of the 4th respondent dated 29.11.2025 and if so advised.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. The written instructions of the 4th respondent dated 22.01.2026 shall be made as part of the court record. Interim order, if any, deemed to have been vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous Petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

20.04.2026
NNN