



(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
MONDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE T MALLIKARJUNA RAO
CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 2601 OF 2017

Between:

Upputuri Venkaiah, S/o Dasaiah, Aged about 65 years, R/o Koppolu Village, Ongole Mandal, Prakasam Dist.

... Petitioner

/Defacto Complainant

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Represented by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

...Respondent/Respondent

2. Katragadda Raghupathi @ Raghu, S/o Ramanadham, aged 37 years, R/o Koppolu, Ongole Mandal, Prakasam Dist.
3. Veeragandham Ravi Kumar, S/o Venkateswarlu, aged about 37 years, R/o Koppolu, Ongole Mandal, Prakasam Dist.
4. Kandimalla Anjaneyulu, S/o Venkateswarlu, 37 years, R/o Koppolu, Ongole Mandal, Prakasam Dist.
5. Nandipineni Bhaskar, S/o Venkateswarlu, aged about 26 years, R/o Koppolu, Ongole Mandal, Prakasam Dist.

.... Respondents/Accused No.1 to 4

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate SRI MASTHAN NAIDU CHERUKURI presented this Revision filed under Section 397 and 401 of Cr.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Criminal Revision Case, the High Court may be pleased to present this memorandum of CrI.A., aggrieved against the

Judgment dated 06.05.2011 made in S.C.No.302 of 2004 on the file of the I Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge, Ongole, Prakasam Dist.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and memorandum of grounds filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri MASTHAN NAIDU CHERUKURI Advocate for the Petitioner, Assistant Public Prosecutor for respondent No.1 directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.3 herein to show cause as to why this CRIMINAL REVISION CASE should not be admitted.

You viz:

Veeragandham Ravi Kumar, S/o Venkateswarlu, aged about 37 years, R/o Koppolu, Ongole Mandal, Prakasam Dist.

are be and hereby directed to show cause either appearing in person or through an advocate within four weeks to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the memorandum of grounds filed therewith (copy enclosed) this CRIMINAL REVISION CASE should not be admitted.

The Court made the following: ORDER

Learned counsel for the petitioner is present.

Issue notice to respondent No.3.

List the matter after four weeks.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/-T.SRINIVASA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Veeragandham Ravi Kumar, S/o Venkateswarlu, aged about 37 years, R/o Koppolu, Ongole Mandal, Prakasam Dist.(by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and memorandum of grounds)
2. One CC to SRI. MASTHAN NAIDU CHERUKURI Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to C.Subba Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court of Andhra Pradesh [OUT]
5. One spare copy

ksr

HIGH COURT

TMR, J

DATED:03/11/2025

List the matter after four weeks

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

CRLRC.No.2601 of 2017

