

[3396]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

TUESDAY ,THE SIXTH DAY OF JANUARY

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 45 OF 2026



Between:

Suresh Kumar alias Suresh Kumar Shanugam, S/o Shanmukham Late,
Aged 72 years,Occ Business, Caste by Nadar,R/o. Thamarai Apartment,
F3, H-6,Thiruvalluru Nagar, Thiruvan Miyur,Chennai, Tamil Nadu-600041.

Petitioner/Accused No.3

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Public Prosecutor High Court
for the State of Andhra Pradesh-522239

Respondent

2. Are Silpa, W/o Sabarish Suresh Kumar, Aged 36 years,Occ Project
Manager at ITC Ltd.,R/oD.No.12-15-426, 3 line,Pattabipuram, Guntur
District-522006

Respondent/Complainant

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C & under Section 528 of BNSS , praying
that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Criminal
Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the criminal proceedings
against the Petitioner/Accused No.3 in DVC No.110 of 2019 on the file of
Hon'ble Special Judicial Magistrate Cum Mobile Court, Guntur, as they are
lacking merits

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C & under Section 528 of
BNSS, praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support
of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings

including appearance of the Petitioner/Accused No.3 in DVC No.110 of 2019 on the file of Hon'ble Special Judicial Magistrate Cum Mobile Court, Guntur

The petition/Appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. SHAIK AMEENA REHMANI Advocate for the Petitioner(s), PUBLIC PROSECUTOR Advocate for the Respondent(s) and the Court made the following.

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner/A.3.

The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is arrayed as accused No.3, who is the father-in-law of the complainant and a permanent resident of Chennai. Learned counsel for the petitioner would further submit that the petitioner was employed at Delhi and Bengaluru, and that accused No.3 never resided in the same household with the complainant at any point of time. Learned counsel for the petitioner would further submit that, continuation of the proceedings against the petitioner in the present matter is mere abuse of process of law.

Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor takes notice on behalf of the State.

Considering the submissions, the presence of the petitioner/ A.3 before the Trial court is dispensed with unless his presence is specifically directed by the Trial Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to take out personal notice on the respondent No.2 through Speed Post/Courier and file proof of the same.

List the matter on 02.02.2026.

**SD/- N.NAGAMMA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Smt. Are Silpa, W/o Sabarish Suresh Kumar, Occ Project Manager at ITC Ltd., R/o D.No.12-15-426, 3 line, Pattabipuram, Guntur District-522006 (by RPAD)
2. One CC to SRI. SHAIK AMEENA REHMANI Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to SRI. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court of A.P [OPUC]
4. **One spare copy**

HIGH COURT

DR.VJPJ

DATED:06/01/2026

LIST THE MATTER ON 02.02.2026

ORDER

CRLP.No.45 of 2026

DIRECTION

