

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No: C.M.A.No.660 of 2025

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01	07.10.2025	<u>CGR,J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2025</u> This application is filed seeking to condone the delay of 162 days in re-presenting the appeal. Having regard to the reasons assigned in the affidavit filed in support of I.A., this application is ordered. <u>I.A.No.2 of 2025</u> This application is filed to dispense with the filing of the certified copy of judgment of Tribunal Dated 16.10.2014 passed in O.A.No.123 of 2012 on the file of the A.P. Endowments Tribunal, Hyderabad. Having regard to the reasons assigned in the affidavit filed in support of I.A., this application is ordered. <u>C.M.A.No.660 of 2025</u> Issue notice to the respondents. Learned counsel for the appellant is permitted to take out personal notice to respondents by registered post with	

		acknowledgment due and file proof of service in the Registry. The appellant initially preferred writ petition challenging the impugned order passed by the Endowment Tribunal ordering eviction, while dismissing the same with liberty to prefer appeal, a Writ Court has directed the parties to maintain <i>status-quo</i> till filing of such appeal. Learned counsel for the appellant by placing reliance on the interim order dated 05.08.2025 passed in C.M.A.No.533 of 2025, wherein this Court has extended interim relief of <i>status-quo</i>, sought for similar interim relief even in the present appeal. Sri P. Venu Gopal, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2, would submit that the appellant has already suffered an order before Joint-Collector, which was marked under Ex.A8 dated 18.08.2004, wherein the subject lands were clearly declared to belong to the Institution but not private parties. The said order has attained finality as no further appeal came to be preferred against the same. Therefore, appellant cannot have any better right at this stage. He would further submit that under the guise of <i>status-quo</i>, there is every possibility of appellant creating third party interest over the subject property. In view of the aforesaid submissions,	
--	--	--	--

		inasmuch as this Court has passed similar orders in other appeal directing the parties to maintain <i>status-quo</i>, there shall be interim direction to both the parties to maintain <i>status-quo</i> in all respects. It is further directed that the appellant shall not create any third party interest over subject property pending further orders. List the matter after four (04) weeks. ANS CGR,J	
--	--	---	--

--	--	--	--