



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

**TUESDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 966/2026

Between:

1.KANTAREDDY JAMAL REDDY,, AGED 55 YEARS, S/O. SREERAMA REDDY, H.NO.2-93, SEETHARAMAPURAM, NANDIPALLE, KURNOOL DISTRICT - 518502.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF PANCHAYAT RAJ, SECRETARIAT BUILDING, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR.522238

2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KURNOOL KURNOOL DISTRICT.518501

3.THE DISTRICT PANCHAYATH OFFICER, NANDYAL DISTRICT.518502

4.MANDAL PARISHAD DEVELOPMENT OFFICER, MANDAL PRAJA PARISHAD, MAHANANDI MANDAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT.518502

5.THE SEETHARAMAPURAM GRAM PANCHAYAT, REPRESENTED BY PANCHAYATH SECRETARY, MAHANANDI MANDAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT.518502

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus Declaring the inaction of the 5th Respondent in granting building permission to the petitioner for re-construction of the dwelling house on the property bearing Survey No. 408, admeasuring Ac. 0-04-004 cents (equivalent to 195.5 square yards) situated in Seetharamapuram Village, Mahanandi Mandal, Nandyal District, despite

payment of the requisite fee, submission of necessary documents, and reply to the show-cause notice dated 09.12.2025, as illegal, arbitrary, unreasonable, and violative of Articles 14 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently direct the 5th Respondent to forthwith consider and grant building permission/ Approval to the Petitioner for construction/reconstruction of the residential building on the aforesaid property, in accordance with the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Raj Act, 1994, and the Andhra Pradesh Building Rules, 2017 and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent No.5 to forthwith consider the Petitioner's reply dated 11.12.2025 and grant building permission/ Approval to the Petitioner for construction/reconstruction of the residential building on the aforesaid property, in accordance with the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Raj Act, 1994, and the Andhra Pradesh Building Rules, 2017 and Pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 5th Respondent to refrain from taking any coercive or penal action against the petitioner pursuant to the show-cause notice Rc.No.PSNo. 1/2025 dated 09.12.2025, including demolition of the construction, imposition of any fine, or interference with the petitioner's possession and construction activities on the property bearing Survey No. 408, admeasuring Ac. 0-04-004 cents (equivalent to 195.5 square yards) situated in Seetharamapuram Village, Mahanandi Mandal, Nandyal District and Pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. THATHIREDDY ASHOK SRIVASTAVA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV
2. GP FOR REVENUE
3. Mattegunta.Sudhir, Standing Counsel For Z.P.Ps, M.P.Ps, Gram Panchayats

The Court made the following:

:: ORDER ::

The above writ petition was filed to declare the inaction of respondent No.5 in granting building permission to the petitioner for the reconstruction of a dwelling house in an extent of Ac.0.04.004 cents equivalent to 195.5 Square Yards in Sy.No.408 of Seetharamapuram Village, Mahanandi Mandal, Nandyal District, as illegal and arbitrary.

2. Pending the writ petition, on 24.03.2026, pursuant to the direction of this Court, the learned District Panchayat, Nandyal, submitted a report along with the resolution passed by the Gram Panchayat dated 24.12.2025. By the resolution, the Gram Panchayat refused to grant permission to the petitioner to construct the building. Copy of the report along with the resolution passed by the Gram Panchayat has been served to learned counsel for the petitioner across the bar.

3. Given the developments, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh writ petition challenging the resolution passed by the Gram Panchayat dated 24.12.2025 before the appropriate forum.

4. Permission is accorded.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn, giving liberty as prayed for. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date : 07.04.2026
TVN

34

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION No.966 OF 2026

Date : 07.04.2026
TVN