



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

MONDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 966/2026

Between:

Kantareddy Jamal Reddy,

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. THATHIREDDY ASHOK SRIVASTAVA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

2. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

Learned Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development takes notice on behalf of respondents 1 to 3.

Sri M.Sudhir, learned Standing counsel takes notice, offers to file vakalat on behalf of respondents 4 and 5.

At the hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner made an application to the 5th respondent seeking permission to construct a building and also paid the necessary fee vide Ex.P6. However, the permission was not accorded so far.

Sri M.Sudhir, learned Standing counsel, on instructions, would submit that the petitioner has not made any application and that mere payment of the fee, by itself, does not amount to an application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time.

List the matter on 27.01.2026 under the caption "for orders".

SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J
SNI