

**IN THE COURT OF MS. NIRMALA SINGH, Ld. JMFC-05
SOUTH DISTRICT, SAKET COURTS, NEW DELHI**

21

Misc CrI. 567/2026

STATE Vs. RAJ KUMAR ALIAS RAJU

FIR no. 891/2018 (Mehrauli)

25.02.2026

**This is an application for release of case property on behalf of applicant
Khan Bahadur on superdari**

Present: Sh. Akash Chaturvedi, Ld. Substitute APP for the State.
Sh. Faizan Abbasi and Sh. Faahad Hashmi, Ld. counsels for
applicant.

Reply to the application has been filed by the IO. Perused. As per
the reply, the IO has no objection for releasing the amount of Rs. 25,000/- and
one pearl necklace to the real owner.

I have heard the counsel for the applicant. Perused the record.

As per the directions of the **Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in
Manjit Singh vs. State in CrI MC No. 4485/13 dated 10.09.2014** case property
may be released to the rightful owner after preparing detailed panchnama,
taking photographs, valuation report and a security bond. Further, production
of case property should not be insisted upon during trial and its photographs
along with panchnama should suffice for the purpose of evidence.

In view of above IO/SHO is directed to release the case property
(**as per seizure memo**) to the applicant on furnishing security / indemnity bond
as per valuation of the same. Valuation shall be done prior to releasing the
case property to the applicant. A detailed panchnama shall be prepared after
taking photographs of the case property and the same shall be
attested/countersigned by complainant as well as accused (*if the accused is
not available to be signed by two independent witnesses*). The cost of
photographs shall be borne by the applicant.

Cont...2-

-2-

Application stands disposed of accordingly.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

(Nirmala Singh)
JMFC-05/South District,
New Delhi/25.02.2026