

Present: Ms. Komal Garg, Advocate for the plaintiff.
Shri Chand Deep Bindra, Advocate for the defendants no.1
and 2.

Replication not filed. Learned counsel for parties submitted that parties have made admission and denial in their respective pleadings. Thereafter, counsel for the parties were asked to opt for settlement of dispute outside the Court by adopting one of the alternate dispute redressal procedure as prescribed under Section 89 of the CPC but counsel for the parties have stated that they do not want to opt for any of these modes of alternative dispute redressal and would like to get the dispute adjudicated from the Civil Court. So, from the pleadings of the parties following issues are framed for adjudication:-

1. Whether plaintiff is entitled to a decree for declaration and consequential relief of permanent injunction as prayed for? OPP.
2. Whether suit of plaintiff is not maintainable in the present form? OPD.
3. Whether plaintiff has no locus standi and cause of action to file the present suit? OPD.
4. Whether this Court has no jurisdiction to entertain and try the present suit? OPD
5. Relief.

No other issue is pressed or claimed. Onus is not objected. Now to come upon 19.05.2026 for evidence of plaintiff. Learned counsel for plaintiff is directed to file list of witnesses, PF, DM etc within seven days failing which evidence of plaintiff shall be brought at own responsibility. However, it is made clear that three opportunities will be given to the plaintiff to lead evidence. It shall be the first opportunity. Arguments on the stay application shall also be heard on the date fixed.

Dated:- 02.02.2026

gaurav

(Shivdev Sharma)
CJ(JD)/Ambala
UID No. HR0588