

Sessions Trial No. 01(07)2023

(CIS- 85/2019)

Order No. 64.

Dtd. 07.10.2023.

Today is fixed for production of accused, appointment of advocate from DLSA, Jhargram and evidence of CSWs.

Sole accused Bhuban Murmu is produced from J/C.

No witness turns up today.

Ld. Advocate Sri Surajit Ghosh is appointed by DLSA, Jhargram. A xerox copy of letter of assignment as a legal aid lawyer is kept with the case record.

Ld. Advocate files a petition praying for bail for the accused Bhuban Murmu.

Bail petition dated 07/10/2023 is taken up for hearing. Ld. Lawyer for the accused submits that accused Bhuban Murmu is innocent and he is not connected with this case. Ld. Lawyer for the accused further submits that the accused has his fixed place of residence within this jurisdiction and there is no chance of absconsion. Accused will abide by any terms and conditions if he is granted bail. Ld. Advocate prays for bail of accused Bhuban Murmu.

Ld. PP raises objection.

Heard both sides. Considering submission of both sides and on perusal the materials on case record it is found that the offence is heinous. Charge has already been framed. If the accused is released on bail at this stage, there is every chance of tampering the evidence. Accordingly, I am not inclined to enlarge the accused person on bail at this stage. Therefore, the petition praying for bail of accused person Bhuban Murmu is rejected.

To 08/12/2023 for production of the accused person and evidence.

Dictated & corrected by me.

ASJ-2, Jhargram.

Addl. Sessions Judge,
2nd Court, Jhargram