

BA 199-2021 PBFZE10030172021

BA 194-2021

State vs. Renu Rani etc.

Present: Sh. RS Jossan, APP for State along with Sh. Des Raj Kamboj,
Advocate counsel for complainant.
Sh. Amandeep Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate counsel for accused
Renu Rani and Veerpal Kaur.

This order of mine shall dispose of two separate bail applications filed on behalf of accused Renu Rani and Veerpal Kaur. Applicants in their applications have stated that police has registered a false case against the applicants and further averred that present case has been got registered by Bansi Lal Sikka who is the retired PCS officer as he used to serve as SDM and at present he is aged about 86 years and further averred that present case has been got registered by the complainant under some misconception. Applicants further stated that in fact applicants/accused persons never tried to enter in the house of the complainant and further they never threatened the complainant to involve him in any criminal case and further accused Renu Rani averred that there was cordial relations between the applicant and complainant as the complainant also arranged Rs. 1 Lac for accused Renu Rani and further averred that the accused persons belong to labour category and financially she is poor and further applicant stated that she never dare to threaten the complainant who is in the age of her father and applicant further averred that since, the accused persons have committed no offence, they are entitled for bail and further averred that presentation of challan will take long time and accused persons are no more required for investigation and at present they are in judicial custody and in these circumstances, they are entitled for concession of bail and finally prayed for allowing the present bail applications.

2. Notice of the bail applications served upon the state but no reply has been filed on behalf of state. However, learned APP for State opposed the bail

applications orally on the grounds that accused persons forcible entered in the house of the complainant and further they also tried to blackmail the complainant and demanded Rs. 1 Lac from the complainant and they also threatened the complainant to implicate him in wrong and false case and further he averred that they have committed the offence against the old aged person who financially helped accused Renu Rani, but accused Renu Rani inspite of appreciating the complainant, she threatened the complainant and further she tried to blackmail the complainant. Learned APP for State further averred that since, the accused persons have committed the offence against the society and they committed the heinous crime, they at all are not entitled for bail and finally prayed for dismissal of both the bail applications.

3. I have heard learned APP for State and learned defence counsel at length and have also gone through the judicial files very carefully and minutely and from the perusal of files, it reveals that it is an admitted fact that there was cordial relations in between accused Renu Rani and complainant BL Sikka and further it is also an admitted fact that complainant BL Sikka was the class one gazetted officer and he used to serve as SDM and he is retired from services as SDM and it is also an admitted fact that at present complainant BL Sikka is of 86 years of age and further it is also an admitted fact that the complainant financially helped accused Renu Rani as he arranged Rs. 1 Lac for accused Renu Rani. Further from the perusal of FIR, it reveals that the complainant has leveled the allegations that as and when complainant demanded Rs. 1 Lac from the accused then she firstly refused to return the said amount and further she specifically stated that she will entangle the complainant in false case of rape and further complainant has also leveled specific allegation that accused Renu Rani and Veerpal Kaur along with one young person forcible entered in the house of the complainant and they illegally demanded Rs. 1 Lac from the complainant. Further from the perusal of FIR, it reveals that another case vide FIR No. 3/2019 under

Section 3-4 of Immoral Traffic Act is also pending against accused Renu Rani it reflects the character of accused Renu Rani and further version of the defence counsel that the complainant intentionally remained silent for a period of more than three months carries no weight as it is quite natural that a person who remained a class one officer and at present he is 86 years of age must try to pacify the matter, but as and when the situation became out of his control, he got the present case registered against accused persons. So, in such circumstances when the accused persons committed the offence against a old aged person and further they forcible entered in the house of the complainant and they illegally demanded Rs. 1 Lac from the complainant by blackmailing the complainant, this court is of the considered opinion that accused persons namely; Renu Rani and Veerpal Kaur at all are not entitled for concession of bail and the bail applications filed on behalf of said accused persons Renu Rani and Veerpal Kaur are without any merits and the same are hereby dismissed. The present papers be attached with the main papers.

Pronounced
dated 16.7.2021
Amit Kukkar Steno II

Harpreet Singh, PCS,
Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate,
Abohar UID-PB0290