

UID No.PB0197

Ranjit Singh VS State of Pb 1

CNR No. PBGD01-005069-2018

IN THE COURT OF SH. K.K.SINGLA,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, GURDASPUR.

Bail application No. 230 of 25.07.2018

CIS BA No.1977 of 2018

Date of decision : 09.08.2018

Ranjit Singh son of Darshan Singh son of Shingara Singh, resident of Village Jangla, P.O. Nasarke, Tehsil Batala District Gurdaspur, Adhar Card No. 969446494662

.....Applicant/accused

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent.

FIR No.43 of 24.09.2017

Under Sections 365/120-B IPC

Police Station Ghanie ke Banger

Present : Sh Rakesh Kumar ,Advocate, counsel for applicant/accused.
Sh. K.S. Gill learned Addl. P.P for the State assisted by Gurdial Singh Bhullar Advocate counsel for complainant.

ORDER.

1. This order of mine shall dispose of anticipatory bail application moved by applicant/accused Ranjit Singh in FIR No. 43 of 24.09.2017 under sections 365/120-B IPC registered at Police Station Ghanie Ke Banger.

2. Case of the prosecution is that Piara Singh son of Fauja Singh recorded his statement as to that is doing routine work. He is having two daughters and two sons. Out of which his daughter Amarjit Kaur married with Mukhtiar Singh son of Tara Singh in the year 1997.

UID No.PB0197

Ranjit Singh VS State of Pb 2

CNR No. PBGD01-005069-2018

His son in law Mukhtiar Singh is serving in ITBP. After marriage two sons and one daughter was born to his daughter. After 12/13 years of the marriage, his son in law Mukhtiar Singh got some suspicion with regard to illicit relations of his daughter with Ranjit Singh @ Saba son of Darshan Singh. Due to which there remains some dispute between his daughter and son in law Mukhtiar Singh. Due to which one FIR under Sections 452/324/323/506/148/149 IPC was registered. Thereafter, his daughter Amarjit Kaur started openly residing with Ranjit Singh as wife. All the three children of his daughter are now grown up and they all made efforts to make their mother understand. In January 2017, his son in law Mukhtiar Singh came on leave from his unit and he alongwith his wife and children stayed for two months in the house of complainant. When on 28.03.2017, he left to his unit, Amarjit Kaur alongwith her three children returned to her in laws house at Village Jangla. On 02.04.2017, Baljit Singh elder son of Amarjit Kaur dropped her on the bus stop of their village as she had to visit Batala for buying uniforms. She was having Mobile Phone having number 97798-95510 and Rs. 3500/-. The phone remained on for two days and was later on switched off. Amarjit Kaur never returned to her house after that date. Complainant got lodged one missing person complaint vide DDR No. 27 at P.S. Ghanie Ke Bangar and one proclamation was also issued by the SHO. He also filed one CRWP/510/17 before Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court alleging that Ranjit Singh @ Saba, Baljit Singh @ Fauji both sons of accused/applicant Darshan Singh and against Darshan Singh as well as

regarding abducting his daughter Amarjit Kaur that they had hatched conspiracy to abduct and confine her. Then he suffered above said statement to the IO and got lodged present FIR.

3. Notice of the bail application was given to State, Sh. K.S.Gill, Ld. Addl. PP appeared on behalf of State.

4. Record has been called.

5. I have heard Sh. R.K. Sharma Advocate, counsel for applicant, Sh. K.S. Gill, Ld. Addl. PP for the State and have carefully perused record of the case.

6. Contention of SH. learned counsel for applicant/accused is that applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the present case. All the family members of accused has been roped in a false case. Complainant himself said that Amarjit Kaur started residing with Ranjit Singh. In this eventuality, there was no reason for Ranjit Singh to take away Amarjit Kaur. Basically enmity of complainant with Amarjit Karu is reflecting from the record. His argument is that accused is ready to join investigation and to fully cooperate with the Investigating Agency.

7. On the other hand, this contention has been refuted by Sh. K.S. Gill, Ld. Addl. PP for the State assisted by Sh. G.S. Bhullar Adv., counsel for complainant. His arguments is that allegations are serious. Amarjit Kaur is missing. Accordingly effective investigation can not be carried out without custodial interrogation of accused. He further argued that accused Ranjit Singh did not appear for investigation and he has been

UID No.PB0197

Ranjit Singh VS State of Pb 4

CNR No. PBGD01-005069-2018

declared as Proclaimed Offender. So, prayer has been made that application for anticipatory bail be dismissed.

8. Submissions have been considered and file has been minutely perused by me.

9. Allegations are that daughter of complainant namely Amarjit Kaur is missing and she was having relation with accused Ranjit Singh. Allegations are serious and this court considers facts and circumstances of the case such are that custodial interrogation of accused are necessary.

10. Hence, no case for anticipatory bail is made out.

11. Accordingly, anticipatory bail application stands dismissed.

12. File is ordered to be consigned to the Record Room.

Pronounced
Dated:-09.08.2018

(K.K.Singla)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Gurdaspur
UID No. PB 0197

Rohit Tandon
Stenographer-III

UID No.PB0197

Ranjit Singh VS State of Pb 5

CNR No. PBGD01-005069-2018

Present : Sh Rakesh Kumar ,Advocate, counsel for applicant/accused.
Sh. K.S. Gill learned Addl. P.P for the State assisted by Gurdial
Singh Bhullar Advocate counsel for complainant.

Sh. Gurdial Singh Bhullar Advocate has appeared and filed
power of attorney on behalf of complainant.

Arguments heard. Vide my separate detailed order
pronounced today, bail application for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.
File is ordered to be consigned to the Record Room.

Pronounced
Dated:-09.08.2018

(K.K.Singla)
Additional Sessions Judge
Gurdaspur
UID No. PB 0197

Rohit Tandon
Stenographer-III