

In the court of Dr. Naresh Kumar Singhal, Additional Sessions Judge; Fast Track Special Court, Sirsa.

CNR No. HRSI01009900-2026.
CIS No. BA-2779-2026.
Date of Institution: 03.08.2026.
Date of Decision: 11.08.2026.

Shanta Narula, aged 70 years, wife of Raj Kumar Narula, resident of House No. 13/42, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, Mullanpur, Ludhiana (Punjab).

.....Applicant-accused.

Versus

State of Haryana.

....Respondent.

First application Under Section 439
Cr.P.C./483 BNSS for grant of Regular Bail.

Case FIR No. 72 Dated 06.07.2026.
Under Sections: 498-A, 323, 406, 506, 313/34 IPC.
Police Station: Women Sirsa.

Present: Shri Baldev Singh Sidhu, Advocate for applicant-accused
Shanta Narula.
Shri Amit Mehta, Public Prosecutor for State assisted by
Shri Pukhraj Singh Chauhan, Advocate for the complainant.

ORDER:

This is the first application for regular bail filed on behalf of above-named applicant-accused under Section 439 Cr.P.C./ 483 The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

2. According to the complaint of the complainant/victim, she was married to accused **Rakesh Kumar** on 16.02.2021 at Gurudwara Sabha, Talwandi Bhai, District Firozepur and a son Yuvraj took birth from the said wedlock. Her parents had given sufficient dowry articles including golden

ornaments in the marriage by spending an amount of Rs.50 lacs. However, the accused-persons were not satisfied with the dowry given in the marriage and they started harassing the complainant on the demand of dowry and a car. They used to demand cash amount of Rs.20 lacs. They also used to give beatings to her. Her husband Rakesh Kumar is drug addicted and under intoxicated condition, he used to give beatings to her and make unnatural sex with her. When the complainant became pregnant, then her father had given Rs.5 lacs to her in-laws family and when she gave birth to a son, then her father had given golden articles and Rs.2,21,000/- in cash to the accused-persons. When her husband Rakesh Kumar went to Canada, then her father-in-law used to keep evil eye on her and one day, he entered into her room and asked her to make physical relations with her. Thereafter, the complainant went to her parental home and many panchayats were convened. Her husband Rakesh Kumar returned to India and the accused-persons felt sorry on the assurance that she would be kept nicely and she came back to her matrimonial home but the accused-persons did not mend their ways. Accused Rakesh Kumar used to give bites and make unnatural sex with her under the influence of intoxication and accused Raj Kumar caught hold of her and touched her breast. One day, the complainant was feeling headache, then her mother-in-law Shanta Narula gave three tablets to her and when she consumed the same, bleeding started and she was taken to hospital, where her miscarriage was done in the month of November 2023. On 21.01.2024, the accused-persons gave beatings to the complainant and turned her out of the matrimonial home along with her son and she came to

her parental home. On 07.02.2025, accused Rakesh Kumar came to the parental house of the complainant under influence of intoxication and threatened to kill her and her son.

3. On the statement of the complainant, the formal FIR No. 72 was got lodged on 06.07.2026 Under Sections 498-A, 323, 406, 506, 313/34 IPC in the Police Station, Women Sirsa. After that investigating agency swung into motion. The investigation was carried out by L/ASI Seema. The complainant was medico-legally examined. The scene of crime team inspected the place of occurrence and prepared rough site plan. The complainant had produced marriage certificate, photographs, list of dowry articles, which were taken into police possession. During investigation, **accused Shanta Narula has been arrested on 31.07.2026** and she was interrogated. She suffered her disclosure statement and in pursuance of the disclosure statement, the demarcation of the place of occurrence had been conducted. The statements of witnesses were recorded.

4. Applicant-accused who is in custody since 31.07.2026, has now approached this Court for seeking the concession of regular bail.

5. Notice of the bail application was given to the prosecution. The prosecution has filed its reply opposing the bail application.

6. Shri Baldev Singh Sidhu, Advocate for applicant-accused and Shri Amit Mehta, Public Prosecutor for State assisted by Shri Pukhraj Singh Chauhan, Advocate for the complainant have been heard at length and the case file has been carefully gone through.

7. It was argued by the learned counsel for the applicant-accused that

there is a delay of nine months in lodging the FIR as the complaint was filed on 04.10.2025 and the present FIR was registered on 06.07.2026. The accused is an old lady of 70 years. There is allegation against the accused Shanta Narula that she has given the tablets to the complainant in the year 2023 due to which, bleeding started and it resulted into her miscarriage. The accused Shanta and her husband have disowned their son and daughter-in-law/complainant on 01.07.2022. The son and daughter-in-law of the accused Shanta have performed re-marriage. The copy of newspaper is on the file, which goes to show this fact that the son and daughter-in-law of the accused have been disowned by accused and her husband. There is no medical evidence on the file, as to how miscarriage was got done and no complaint was filed by the complainant from 2023 to 2025. There is only treatment history on the file. The father-in-law of the complainant was found innocent during the investigation of the case. It was further argued that the applicant-accused is in custody since 31.07.2026 and that the trial of the case shall take long time and therefore, no purpose would be served by detaining the applicant-accused in custody. It was further argued that the applicant-accused will abide by all the terms and conditions which shall be imposed by the court and prayed that the applicant-accused may kindly be released on bail.

8. On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor for State assisted by learned counsel for the complainant argued that the delay has been duly explained as the complaint was sent to the DLSA Sirsa and file was received on 30.03.2026 and on 30.03.2026, the file was sent to the SP Office, Sirsa

for approval of the present case. There is specific allegation against the accused that MTP kit was given to the complainant which was found intact on 16.10.2023. There are specific allegations against the accused that she has given three tablets to the complainant, which resulted into her miscarriage and after that Section 313 IPC was added. The husband of complainant is yet to be arrested. The recovery of dowry articles is yet to be effected from the possession of the accused. The investigation is still pending. In the complaint, it is specifically mentioned that the husband of complainant has extended threats to kill her and her son. It was further argued that if accused-applicant is released on bail, then she would create hindrance in the investigation of the case and she would influence and threaten the witnesses and would commit such like offence again and again and her presence would not be procured. It was further argued that keeping in view the attending circumstances of the case and keeping in view the gravity and seriousness of the offence, the bail application of the applicant-accused may kindly be dismissed.

9. After hearing the rival contentions raised by learned counsel for the applicant-accused as well as by learned Public Prosecutor for State assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, I have perused the case file carefully.

10. In the present case, there are specific allegations against **accused Shanta Narula** that she has given three tablets to the complainant, due to which bleeding started and it resulted into her miscarriage. In the medical report, it is mentioned that there is a history that MTP kit was found intact

on 16.10.2023. The husband of complainant is yet to be arrested. The recovery of dowry articles is yet to be effected from the possession of the accused. The investigation is still pending. The complainant has specifically mentioned in the complaint that her husband has extended threats to kill her and her son, as they have already killed their child. The delay has also been duly explained as the complaint was sent to the DLSA Sirsa and file was received on 30.03.2026 and on 30.03.2026, the file was sent to the SP Office, Sirsa for approval of the present case. If the accused-applicant is released on bail, then she would create hindrance in the investigation of the case and she would influence and threaten the witnesses and would commit such like offence again and again and her presence would not be procured. Such type of accused should be dealt with iron hand. Therefore, keeping in view the attending circumstances of the case and in view of the seriousness and gravity of the offence, the **accused Shanta Narula** is not entitled to concession of regular bail.

11. In view of my above-said discussion and without expressing my opinion on the merits of the case, I do not find any merit in the present first regular bail application filed by the accused **Shanta Narula** and therefore, the same is hereby dismissed. File be consigned to records.

Announced in open court.
Dated:11.08.2026.

(Dr. Naresh Kumar Singhal)
Addl. Sessions Judge/FTSC,
Sirsa(UID No.HR/0111)

Typed by:Premrata, Stenographer-I

(Dr. Naresh Kumar Singhal)
ASJ; FTSC, Sirsa.
11.08.2026.

Present: Shri Baldev Singh Sidhu, Advocate for applicant-accused
Shanta Narula.
Shri Amit Mehta, Public Prosecutor for State assisted by
Shri Pukhraj Singh Chauhan, Advocate for the complainant.

Arguments on regular bail application concluded. Now to come
up after lunch break for orders.

Dated:11.08.2026.

(Dr. Naresh Kumar Singhal)
Addl. Sessions Judge/FTSC,
Sirsa(UID No.HR/0111)

Typed by:
Premlata, Stenographer-I

Present: Shri Baldev Singh Sidhu, Advocate for applicant-accused
Shanta Narula.
Shri Amit Mehta, Public Prosecutor for State assisted by
Shri Pukhraj Singh Chauhan, Advocate for the complainant.

Vide my separate order of even date, **the present first regular bail
application of accused Shanta Narula has been dismissed.** File be consigned
to records.

Announced in open court.
Dated:11.08.2026.

(Dr. Naresh Kumar Singhal)
Addl. Sessions Judge/FTSC,
Sirsa(UID No.HR/0111)

Typed by:Premlata, Stenographer-I