

CNR No:PBPT010000622026

CASE No:BA-2-2026

AJAIB SINGH Vs BALJIT SINGH

Complaint u/s 138 of NIA

Application for bail u/s 482 Bhartia Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Present: Sh.BS Bhullar, Advocate for the applicant- accused.
Sh.Ashwani Kumar, learned APP for the State.

ORDER

1. Arguments heard. Through this application, it has been submitted on behalf of the accused/applicant that the applicant was declared as proclaimed person in the aforesaid complaint vide order dated 31.08.2025 by the Ld. Trial Court. The petitioner was regularly appearing before the Trial Court in the above noted complaint as well as in another complaint with the same titled pending before the Hon'ble Court of Ms.Parminder Pindu, JMIC, Patiala bearing Complaint No. COMA 3973-2021. The petitioner being an aged and ailing person often mistook the dates of his both the complaints and even the Courts where the said complaints were pending and on 18.01.2024, on account of his ill health, he could not appear before the Trial Court nor could inform his counsel and the notice was issued for 06.03.2024, but the same was also not received by the petitioner and the Hon'ble Trial Court cancelled the bail order of the petitioner and issued Non- Bailable Warrants of arrest of the petitioner. The absence of the petitioner for not appearing before the Ld. Trial Court was neither intentional nor willful but due the above said

Sucheta Ashish Dev/ASJ/JSC/Patiala/16.02.2026

reason. Hence the petitioner prays for his release on anticipatory bail in the present case.

2. Learned APP for the State contested the bail application on the ground that the accused/applicant should have himself kept the track of his case since the case was pending against him. Therefore, the accused does not deserve the benefit of bail.

3. After taking into consideration the relevant submissions of both the parties, the case file titled as Baljeet Singh Vs. Ajaib Singh COMA-1648-2022 has been perused carefully by this Court. Perusal of file reveals that earlier accused appeared before the Court and was released on bail by the learned trial Court. However, during the proceedings on 6.3.2024, to procure the presence of accused, learned trial Court had canceled the bail bonds of the accused and issued his non-bailable warrant. Later on 8.5.2024, proclamation under Section 82 Cr.P.C. had been issued against the accused/applicant. After that statement of the serving constable had been recorded and the accused/applicant had been declared as proclaimed person vide Order dated 24.10.2024.

4. As per the submissions made on behalf of the accused, he could not appear before the Ld. Trial Court as being an aged and ailing person often mistook the date and on account of his ill health, he could not appear before the Trial Court nor could inform his counsel.

5. Taking into consideration the fact that the trial of the present case will take considerable time to complete and no purpose would be served by keeping the accused behind the bars. Specifically no custodial

interrogation of the accused/applicant is required in this case. Consequently, the present bail application is allowed and accused is directed to appear before the learned Trial Court within 7 days of this Order. On his doing so, the learned Trial Court shall release the accused/applicant on bail on furnishing the bail bonds with one surety in the like amount to its own satisfaction, subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) That the applicant shall appear in the Court on each and every date of hearing;
- (ii) That he shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence;
- (iii) That he shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. Application thus disposed off. The Lower Court record along with copy of this Order be sent back forthwith. Bail application be consigned to Record Room.

Pronounced in the open
Court on 16.02.2026.

(Sucheta Ashish Dev)
Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala
UID No.PB0261

Dilpreet Singh

Directly
Dictated